US Army Corps
of Engineers
Hydrologic Engineering Center

The HEC NexGen Software Development Project

Technical Paper No. 138

January 1993

Approved for Public Release. Distribution Unlimited.

Papers in this series have resulted from technical activities of the Hydrologic Engineering Center. Versions of some of these have been published in technical journals or in conference proceedings. The purpose of this series is to make the information available for use in the Center's training program and for distribution with the Corps of Engineers.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products.

The HEC NexGen Software Development Project[1]

DARRYL W. DAVIS, BS, MS, Member ASCE
Director, Hydrologic Engineering Center, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

SUMMARY

The NexGen project, a five year project begun in 1990, is developing successor software packages to the existing family of HEC hydrologic engineering computer programs. The project is being accomplished through a management process that employs teams comprised of technical specialists, computer scientists, and consultants. Modern software engineering methods of requirements analysis, prototype development, field-testing, and feedback, are employed. Object-oriented program architecture implemented with C++ and advanced data features of FORTRAN 90 are being used. Prototype models of NexGen software packages in catchment and river analysis are now operational and beta-test versions will be completed at the end of this next year. Final packages are expected to be completed on schedule. The packages will be functional for RISC-chip and Intel-chip based desktop computers.

1. INTRODUCTION

The existing family of HEC programs is the culmination of 25 years of program development activities. The programs are operational in a batch mode on mainframe and minicomputers. For personal computers, they are assembled into packages comprised of one or more applications programs, supporting utilities, and a shell menu system for user interface. The programs are advanced in terms of computation and display capabilities. The structure of the programs, their interaction with the computation environment, and their essential functioning remains batch. The programs are powerful, technical state-the-art software products. They will be supported for the near-term, essentially as presently released.

The engineering applications computing environment is rapidly becoming that of the desktop computer, either high-end personal computers or engineering workstations. The engineer-user expects software that is state-of-the-art in technical capability, highly interactive, supported by high quality graphics, and controlled via a graphical user interface. Because of their evolution, the HEC programs are not well structured for efficient adaptation to this new environment. Also, the need to continually improve program capabilities makes it desirable to have computer code that can be easily modified and maintained.

A project, coined NexGen, was formulated to respond to these needs; that is, develop successor generation software to the present family of HEC programs. The project began in 1990 and is scheduled for completion in 1995. This paper describes the evolution and status of the HEC family of programs, the objectives and management approach for NexGen, findings and status of the project to date, and description of prototype models in river hydraulics and catchment analysis.

[1] Presented at WATERCOMP '93, 2nd Australasian Conference on Computing for the Water Industry - Today and Tomorrow, 30 March - 1 April 1993, Melbourne, Australia.

2. FAMILY OF HEC PROGRAMS

The present computer program library, documentation, and support activities are the cumulative result of three eras of HEC activities. The first decade (1964 -1973) was that of single purpose programs, limited types and numbers of mainframe computer systems, and direct engineer to program user support activities. The second decade (1974 -1983) was that of packaged programs, integration of data management systems, improved graphics, mini- and mainframe computers and an expanded user community. The 1984 - 1993 decade is that of the personal computer characterized by a greatly expanded user community, increased attention to user interface and graphics, and diffused program distribution and support. Table 1 is a summary of the existing software library.

Program Category	Major Programs	Other Programs	Editors/ Utilities	Total Programs	Implemented for PC's
Surface Water Hydrology	4	6	-	10	4
River Hydraulics	3	4	6	13	11
Reservoirs	1	5	4	10	5
Statistical Hydrology	1	4	-	5	3
Planning Analysis	3	6	2	11	9
Water Quality	2	4	1	7	4
Data Storage System	3	0	13	16	10
Water Control	2	2	11	15	-
Miscellaneous	2	0	2	4	2
Totals	21	31	39	91	48

Table 1 Hydrologic Engineering Center Software Library

3. NexGen PROJECT OBJECTIVES, TEAMS, AND MANAGEMENT

Begun in October 1990, the HEC-wide project is now in the third year of work. The first year was devoted to forming project teams, investigating the array of software engineering issues, and documenting the technical requirements of the software packages. Technical teams were formed and charged with developing preliminary requirements statements for the areas of catchment analysis, river analysis, reservoir analysis, and flood damage analysis. Software support teams were similarly formed and charged with developing preliminary concepts for the areas of program architecture and design, graphical user interface, data base support, graphics, and program development environment and standards.

The teams were each headed by a senior HEC engineer or division chief and were comprised of 3 to 5 technical staff. Some staff served on two teams and all 25 HEC technical staff served on at least one team. Staff participated in team activities on a part-time basis while continuing to perform their regular duties. The teams developed consensus on their respective assignments, developed plans for their accomplishment, and provided a study report of findings. The team leader provided direction, called meetings, recorded findings, provided for coordination with other teams, and performed briefings for HEC division chiefs and director. Project files were maintained on matters related to team activities with minutes recorded for meetings convened. The teams were encouraged to seek Center-wide participation in forming ideas and developing products through seminars and other forums and circulation of concept papers. The teams also brought in speakers and consultants with specialized knowledge from outside the Corps.

Team leaders reported to their respective division chief on NexGen project matters. Team leader duties were considered a normal assignment subject to common supervision and performance appraisal. Direct contact and work with team members throughout HEC was encouraged. Management oversight was provided through an executive committee comprised of the team leaders and chaired by the director. The oversight committee met monthly to monitor progress ensures coordination, and surface for resolution common issues that might impede progress.

4. TEAM FINDINGS

The technical teams confirmed the NexGen goal of developing a family of hydrologic engineering software packages to serve the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers into the next century. The packages will be integrated and be designed for interactive use in a multi-tasking, multi-user network environment. The catchment and river analysis software packages were designated for accelerated prototype development. The findings and recommendations of the software support and technical teams are described below.

4.1 Program Architecture, Design, User Interface, and Development Environment

These three teams addressed the issues of computation environment, program architecture, coding language relationship to architecture, software engineering related to program design, and computer hardware and software industry standards. Specific items studied included: present and emerging desktop machines, concept of object-oriented design and programming [1], UNIX [2], X Windows [3], C [4] and C++ [5], Motif [6], FORTRAN 90 [7], and Microsoft Windows [8].

The teams concluded that development should target both RISC-chip based engineering workstations running standard AT&T UNIX [9], and high-end Intel-chip MS-DOS [10] based personal computers running in a window environment. Programs should be developed for use through a graphical user interface (GUI). In UNIX, development should follow the X Windows standard using Motif for the GUI. In MS-DOS, Microsoft Windows should be the development environment, with later consideration of Windows NT [11]. Object-oriented programming (OOP) concepts employing C++ and advanced features of FORTRAN 90 were recommended for consideration for program coding. Program architecture, consistent with the above, was formulated and recommended for testing. Figure 1 is a diagram of the recommended NexGen software architecture. Note the deliberate separation of the GUI, graphics, compute engine, and date base in the program architecture.

4.2 Data Base Support

The team addressed the issue of providing for the data persistence necessary to support the GUI, graphics, and technical analysis envisioned for NexGen. Specific items investigated included time-series, paired-function, model-parameter, geometry, spatial, and image data needs; and available commercial systems and associated licenses, fees, and platform portability.

The team concluded that the HEC-DSS system [12] best meets the time-series and paired-function data management needs. Model-parameter, and geometry data management needs were concluded to be relatively modest compared to the capabilities of commercial systems. Therefore, the need for a commercial data base management system was discounted. The team recommended that a study be initiated that would investigate the feasibility and design of additional data conventions for HEC-DSS



Figure 1 Recommended NexGen Software Architecture

that would enable it to also manage these data types. No firm conclusions were reached regarding spatial and image data management support for NexGen. The team recommended deferring decisions for these data types pending the outcome of other on-going work at HEC in geographic information systems. A key part of NexGen's contribution is working with data in its natural and logical format and not as concocted for input to the computer.

4.3 Graphics

The team addressed the issues of the nature and amount of data to display, types of displays, and approach to incorporate graphics into NexGen. Specific items investigated included on the fly versus exit/call concepts, commercial package capabilities, graphics standards, and compatibility of Windows and UNIX graphics.

The team concluded that graphics (often termed visualization) should follow published and de facto industry standards such as X Windows, and be targeted for common display and output devices. The team recommended use of high-level graphics packages rather that custom coding, and that coding be performed in a modular manner to enable changes to other platforms and graphics packages with minimum code re-write. The team recommended that the commercial package UNIRAS [13] be tested for the UNIX environment and Visual Basic [14] and Windows programming tools [15] be tested for the DOS environment.

4.4 River Analysis

The team recommended the River Analysis System as the successor to existing steady-flow - HEC-2 [12], unsteady-flow - UNET [12], and movable boundary - HEC-6 [12] programs. The team concluded that the package should comprise components that perform steady, unsteady, and movable boundary hydraulics using common geometry data, and common geometric and hydraulic computation routines. A

GUI will be developed for data entry, execution control, and graphic display. Capabilities of existing software packages are to be carried forward and new state-of-the art algorithms added. For the steady-flow component, complete re-coding in FORTRAN 90 was recommended and is underway, and algorithms for single execution subcritical and supercritical profile computation have been developed. The existing bridge analysis will be reformulated into a single bridge representation with automated determination of appropriate analysis method. The team recommended that development of a prototype of the steady-flow component be undertaken first. The team also recommended a study be made of the desirability of adding cross-sectional geometry data management to HEC-DSS.

For the unsteady-flow component, the culvert and bridge routines developed for the steady-flow component would be incorporated. Additional graphic editing and display capability will be incorporated. Similar improvements for the mobile boundary component are planned. The possibility of adapting the mobile boundary component to event analysis, in lieu of the present analysis using a representative flow-duration relationship, was recommended for study.

4.5 Catchment Analysis

The team recommended that the Catchment Analysis System be developed as the successor to the existing flood hydrograph package - HEC-1 [12], and the several other hydrograph analysis related special purpose programs in the HEC software library. The team concluded that initially, the catchment system should be an event analysis tool but that it should be designed and developed for later extension to continuous simulation analysis. Capabilities of existing software packages, where appropriate, would be carried forward, with modest technical improvements made. Capability to perform event analysis from spatially varying precipitation patterns will be developed. New generation radar with such capability is expected to soon become available [16]. The team recommended that a catchment analysis system prototype be developed using object-oriented design and programming.

4.6 Reservoir System and Flood Damage Analysis

These teams recommended that software packages currently under development be continued as presently planned, thus deferring full NexGen related focus for a year. In the reservoir area, development of the prescriptive reservoir model - HEC-PRM [17], was recommended for accelerated completion. It is now planned for release in early 1993. Later, need for improved low-flow simulation analysis and a dedicated, real-time flood control release model would be addressed. In the flood damage area, accelerated completion of the near real-time project benefits accomplishments package - HEC-PBA [18] was recommended. Requirements analysis studies of adapting to NexGen concepts of GUI's, integrated graphics, and relatively seamless integration with other NexGen software packages would be undertaken at a later date.

5. PROTOTYPE SOFTWARE PACKAGES

A development team reporting directly to the HEC director was formed to work exclusively on the project during the second year to focus attention on critical decision items and produce an early stage working prototype. The team consisted of a senior HEC manager, hydraulic engineer, computer system analyst, and software development consultant. The team was assigned the task of developing a functioning catchment model prototype implementing the recommendations of the several software support teams.

The completed prototype performs precipitation, infiltration, runoff transform, routing, and network catchment modeling. The prototype was designed and developed following object-oriented principles. The basic program kernel and a few simple computation routines are coded in C++; coding for most computations and database operations (HEC-DSS) come from existing FORTRAN routines. A commercial package - UIM/X [19] was used to develop the GUI. UIM/X generates C code. The UNIRAS commercial graphics package is being evaluated for the display capability.

Figure 2 is a mosaic of display screens that might occur during a session with the prototype catchment model. The number of windows simultaneously open during a session is limited only by the size and resolution of the display monitor. The session might begin by calling up the program and retrieving a partially complete data set for the Allegheny basin. Positioning additional model palette



Figure 2 Display Screen from Catchment Model Prototype Session

items on the schematic expands basin configuration. This is accomplished with click and drag mouse operations. Windows for the junction, reach, and catchment editors are opened and data entered or edited. The model may then be executed and text and graphic output for selected locations displayed. Comparison of runoff at several locations might also be graphically requested (via point and click operations) and displayed. The session might then continue with further editing, model configuration modifications, and executions.

In a complementary effort, the river analysis team developed a prototype model designed for Intel-DOS machines running under Microsoft Windows. Visual Basic is used to develop the GUI and some graphics and Windows programming tools are used for the graphics, display, and hard copy capability. New geometry and hydraulic properties, and steady-flow step backwater subcritical and supercritical

routines were developed for the prototype model. The code is new and takes advantage of advanced data structures available in FORTRAN 90.

Figure 3 displays a screen that might occur during a session with the prototype river analysis model. The session might begin by calling up the program and retrieving an existing data set for the Red Fox river. Cross-sectional geometry, hydraulic roughness, and reach lengths for Reach 1; river mile .03 is edited to reflect recently acquired field data. A plot of the edited cross-section, requested through point and click mouse operations, is displayed to permit viewing the edited data. A culvert is added to the reach by selecting the appropriate item from the feature palette and inserting it into the reach schematic at the desired location. This is accomplished through click and drag mouse operations. The model is then executed and a profile plot requested and displayed. The computed profile indicates that a hydraulic jump will occur near the transition from steep to mild slope. Further editing and model executions might then be performed to test the profile sensitivity to model parameter values. Various profile plots could be superposed to assist in studying the results.



Figure 3 Display Screen from River Model Prototype Session

Both prototype models were completed and are being tested within the Corps. Recipients are asked to test the prototypes in a production environment. The models are complete and functional but of course of limited capability. Feedback from users, together with the experience gained in the prototype development efforts, will provide a firm basis for design and development of beta-test versions of these two NexGen software packages.

6. CURRENT NexGen ACTIVITIES

For the third year of the project, the NexGen development team is reassigned to the HEC division responsible for catchment analysis and tasked with taking the prototype catchment model forward toward the full model capability. The team leadership is reassigned to our senior technical specialist in catchment analysis. The remainder of the NexGen team staff is intact. The team assignment is more production focused than the past year, but it still provides a focal point and leadership for the overall HEC NexGen project.

The river analysis team is tasked with further developing the prototype river model toward full steady-flow capability. Team composition and objectives remain unchanged. Both teams have been charged with cooperating on issues of mutual concern and ensuring that both beta-test models will be operational on RISC-chip and Intel-chip desktop computers. The beta-test versions are scheduled for release in 1994

The flood damage and reservoir analysis NexGen teams will return to active status. The flood damage team will develop preliminary requirements for the NexGen successor to the existing flood damage analysis package - HEC-FDA [12]. The reservoir analysis team will develop preliminary requirements for a real-time flood control operation model. Some prototype development and testing is planned. Consideration is being given to porting the newly released prescriptive reservoir programs (HEC-PRM) and interior flooding hydrology program - HEC-IFH [12] to the NexGen environment. The graphics and data teams may be reactivated to serve in an advisory capacity. Team memberships are adjusted to reflect the past years accomplishments and changes in staff locations and assignments.

7. CONCLUSIONS

The NexGen project will develop successor generation software packages for the engineering community of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Concepts of object-oriented software design and development offer significant potential benefit in NexGen software development. Adherence to published hardware and software standards where available, and de facto standards otherwise, is critical to NexGen success. Developing prototype catchment and river analysis models proved to be essential to surfacing and resolving critical technical and software engineering issues. A structured management approach which employs investigative and development teams is being successfully followed. The NexGen project is expected to be completed on schedule in 1995.

8. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The NexGen project is an HEC-wide undertaking and as such, has involved all technical staff. Individuals that have thus far played key roles are: NexGen Development Team - Art Pabst, leader, Bill Charley, hydraulic engineer, Tony Slocum, consultant; River Analysis Team - Mike Gee, team leader, Gary Brunner, senior hydraulic engineer; Catchment Analysis Team - David Goldman, team leader, John Peters, senior technical specialist; HEC division chiefs (served as software support team leaders) - Mike Burnham (also flood damage team leader), Vern Bonner (also reservoir analysis team leader), and Arlen Feldman.

9. REFERENCES

1. Open Software Foundation, "Object-Oriented Programming: An Evolutionary Approach", Addison-Wesley, 1986.
2. Rosen, Kenneth H., Rosinski, Richard, R., and Farber, James M., "UNIX System V Release 4: An Introduction", Osborne McGraw-Hill, 1990.
3. Asente, Paul, and Swich, Ralph, " The X Window System Toolkit", DEC Press, 1990.
4. Kernigham, Brian W., Ritchie, Dennis M., "The C Programming Language", Prentice Hall, 1988.
5. Ellis, Margaret A., and Stroustrup, Bjarne, "The Annotated C++ Reference Manual, Addison-Wesley, 1990.
6. Open Software Foundation, "OSF/Motif Programmer's Reference", Prentice Hall, 1991.
7. Microsoft Corporation, "Microsoft FORTRAN Version 5.1, Reference Guide", Microsoft Corporation, 1992.
8. Microsoft Corporation, "Microsoft Windows Version 3.1 User's Guide", Microsoft Corporation, 1992.
9. Levine, Donald A., "Posix Programmer's Guide", O'Reilly & Associates Inc., 1991.
10. Microsoft Corporation, "MS-DOS User's Guide and Reference Version 5.0", Microsoft Corporation, 1991.
11. Microsoft Corporation, "Microsoft WIN32 SDK for Windows NT (Preliminary)", Microsoft Corporation, 1992.
12. USACE Hydrologic Engineering Center, "Computer Program Catalogue", Hydrologic Engineering Center, 1991.
13. UNIRAS A/S, "agX/Toolmaster Reference Manual", UNIRAS A/S, 1991.
14. Microsoft Corporation, "Microsoft Visual Basic, Programmer's Guide", Microsoft Corporation, 1991.
15. Microsoft Corporation, "Microsoft Windows Software Development Kit: Programmer's Reference", Microsoft Corporation, 1990.
16. The NEXRAD Joint System Program Office, "Next Generation Weather Radar Product Description Document", The NEXRAD Joint System Program Office, 1986.
17. USACE Hydrologic Engineering Center, Missouri River System Analysis Model: Phase II", USACE Hydrologic Engineering Center, 1992.
18. USACE Hydrologic Engineering Center, "1991 Annual Report", USACE Hydrologic Engineering Center, 1991, p. 17.
19. Visual Edge Software Ltd., "UIM/X Release 2.0 Standard", Visual Edge Software Ltd., 1991.

Technical Paper Series

TP-1 Use of Interrelated Records to Simulate Streamflow
TP-2 Optimization Techniques for Hydrologic Engineering
TP-3 Methods of Determination of Safe Yield and Compensation Water from Storage Reservoirs
TP-4 Functional Evaluation of a Water Resources System
TP-5 Streamflow Synthesis for Ungaged Rivers
TP-6 Simulation of Daily Streamflow
TP-7 Pilot Study for Storage Requirements for Low Flow Augmentation
TP-8 Worth of Streamflow Data for Project Design - A Pilot Study
TP-9 Economic Evaluation of Reservoir System Accomplishments
TP-10 Hydrologic Simulation in Water-Yield Analysis
TP-11 Survey of Programs for Water Surface Profiles
TP-12 Hypothetical Flood Computation for a Stream System
TP-13 Maximum Utilization of Scarce Data in Hydrologic Design
TP-14 Techniques for Evaluating Long-Tem Reservoir Yields
TP-15 Hydrostatistics - Principles of Application
TP-16 A Hydrologic Water Resource System Modeling Techniques
TP-17 Hydrologic Engineering Techniques for Regional Water Resources Planning
TP-18 Estimating Monthly Streamflows Within a Region
TP-19 Suspended Sediment Discharge in Streams
TP-20 Computer Determination of Flow Through Bridges
TP-21 An Approach to Reservoir Temperature Analysis
TP-22 A Finite Difference Methods of Analyzing Liquid Flow in Variably Saturated Porous Media
TP-23 Uses of Simulation in River Basin Planning
TP-24 Hydroelectric Power Analysis in Reservoir Systems
TP-25 Status of Water Resource System Analysis
TP-26 System Relationships for Panama Canal Water Supply
TP-27 System Analysis of the Panama Canal Water Supply
TP-28 Digital Simulation of an Existing Water Resources System
TP-29 Computer Application in Continuing Education
TP-30 Drought Severity and Water Supply Dependability
TP-31 Development of System Operation Rules for an Existing System by Simulation
TP-32 Alternative Approaches to Water Resources System Simulation
TP-33 System Simulation of Integrated Use of Hydroelectric and Thermal Power Generation
TP-34 Optimizing flood Control Allocation for a Multipurpose Reservoir
TP-35 Computer Models for Rainfall-Runoff and River Hydraulic Analysis
TP-36 Evaluation of Drought Effects at Lake Atitlan
TP-37 Downstream Effects of the Levee Overtopping at Wilkes-Barre, PA, During Tropical Storm Agnes
TP-38 Water Quality Evaluation of Aquatic Systems
TP-39 A Method for Analyzing Effects of Dam Failures in Design Studies
TP-40 Storm Drainage and Urban Region Flood Control Planning
TP-41 HEC-5C, A Simulation Model for System Formulation and Evaluation
TP-42 Optimal Sizing of Urban Flood Control Systems
TP-43 Hydrologic and Economic Simulation of Flood Control Aspects of Water Resources Systems
TP-44 Sizing Flood Control Reservoir Systems by System Analysis
TP-45 Techniques for Real-Time Operation of Flood Control Reservoirs in the Merrimack River Basin
TP-46 Spatial Data Analysis of Nonstructural Measures
TP-47 Comprehensive Flood Plain Studies Using Spatial Data Management Techniques
TP-48 Direct Runoff Hydrograph Parameters Versus Urbanization
TP-49 Experience of HEC in Disseminating Information on Hydrological Models
TP-50 Effects of Dam Removal: An Approach to Sedimentation
TP-51 Design of Flood Control Improvements by Systems Analysis: A Case Study
TP-52 Potential Use of Digital Computer Ground Water Models
TP-53 Development of Generalized Free Surface Flow Models Using Finite Element Techniques
TP-54 Adjustment of Peak Discharge Rates for Urbanization
TP-55 The Development and Servicing of Spatial Data Management Techniques in the Corps of Engineers
TP-56 Experiences of the Hydrologic Engineering Center in Maintaining Widely Used Hydrologic and Water Resource Computer Models
TP-57 Flood Damage Assessments Using Spatial Data Management Techniques
TP-58 A Model for Evaluating Runoff-Quality in Metropolitan Master Planning
TP-59 Testing of Several Runoff Models on an Urban Watershed
TP-60 Operational Simulation of a Reservoir System with Pumped Storage
TP-61 Technical Factors in Small Hydropower Planning
TP-62 Flood Hydrograph and Peak Flow Frequency Analysis
TP-63 HEC Contribution to Reservoir System Operation
TP-64 Determining Peak-Discharge Frequencies in an Urbanizing Watershed: A Case Study
TP-65 Feasibility Analysis in Small Hydropower Planning
TP-66 Reservoir Storage Determination by Computer Simulation of Flood Control and Conservation Systems
TP-67 Hydrologic Land Use Classification Using LANDSAT
TP-68 Interactive Nonstructural Flood-Control Planning
TP-69 Critical Water Surface by Minimum Specific Energy Using the Parabolic Method

TP-70 Corps of Engineers Experience with Automatic Calibration of a Precipitation-Runoff Model
TP-71 Determination of Land Use from Satellite Imagery for Input to Hydrologic Models
TP-72 Application of the Finite Element Method to Vertically Stratified Hydrodynamic Flow and Water Quality
TP-73 Flood Mitigation Planning Using HEC-SAM
TP-74 Hydrographs by Single Linear Reservoir Model
TP-75 HEC Activities in Reservoir Analysis
TP-76 Institutional Support of Water Resource Models
TP-77 Investigation of Soil Conservation Service Urban Hydrology Techniques
TP-78 Potential for Increasing the Output of Existing Hydroelectric Plants
TP-79 Potential Energy and Capacity Gains from Flood Control Storage Reallocation at Existing U.S. Hydropower Reservoirs
TP-80 Use of Non-Sequential Techniques in the Analysis of Power Potential at Storage Projects
TP-81 Data Management Systems of Water Resources Planning
TP-82 The New HEC-1 Flood Hydrograph Package
TP-83 River and Reservoir Systems Water Quality Modeling Capability
TP-84 Generalized Real-Time Flood Control System Model
TP-85 Operation Policy Analysis: Sam Rayburn Reservoir
TP-86 Training the Practitioner: The Hydrologic Engineering Center Program
TP-87 Documentation Needs for Water Resources Models
TP-88 Reservoir System Regulation for Water Quality Control
TP-89 A Software System to Aid in Making Real-Time Water Control Decisions
TP-90 Calibration, Verification and Application of a Two-Dimensional Flow Model
TP-91 HEC Software Development and Support
TP-92 Hydrologic Engineering Center Planning Models
TP-93 Flood Routing Through a Flat, Complex Flood Plain Using a One-Dimensional Unsteady Flow Computer Program
TP-94 Dredged-Material Disposal Management Model
TP-95 Infiltration and Soil Moisture Redistribution in HEC-1
TP-96 The Hydrologic Engineering Center Experience in Nonstructural Planning
TP-97 Prediction of the Effects of a Flood Control Project on a Meandering Stream
TP-98 Evolution in Computer Programs Causes Evolution in Training Needs: The Hydrologic Engineering Center Experience
TP-99 Reservoir System Analysis for Water Quality
TP-100 Probable Maximum Flood Estimation - Eastern United States
TP-101 Use of Computer Program HEC-5 for Water Supply Analysis
TP-102 Role of Calibration in the Application of HEC-6
TP-103 Engineering and Economic Considerations in Formulating
TP-104 Modeling Water Resources Systems for Water Quality
TP-105 Use of a Two-Dimensional Flow Model to Quantify Aquatic Habitat
TP-106 Flood-Runoff Forecasting with HEC-1F
TP-107 Dredged-Material Disposal System Capacity Expansion
TP-108 Role of Small Computers in Two-Dimensional Flow Modeling
TP-109 One-Dimensional Model for Mud Flows
TP-110 Subdivision Froude Number
TP-111 HEC-5Q: System Water Quality Modeling
TP-112 New Developments in HEC Programs for Flood Control
TP-113 Modeling and Managing Water Resource Systems for Water Quality
TP-114 Accuracy of Computer Water Surface Profiles - Executive Summary
TP-115 Application of Spatial-Data Management Techniques in Corps Planning
TP-116 The HEC's Activities in Watershed Modeling
TP-117 HEC-1 and HEC-2 Applications on the Microcomputer
TP-118 Real-Time Snow Simulation Model for the Monongahela River Basin
TP-119 Multi-Purpose, Multi-Reservoir Simulation on a PC
TP-120 Technology Transfer of Corps' Hydrologic Models
TP-121 Development, Calibration and Application of Runoff Forecasting Models for the Allegheny River Basin
TP-122 The Estimation of Rainfall for Flood Forecasting Using Radar and Rain Gage Data
TP-123 Developing and Managing a Comprehensive Reservoir Analysis Model
TP-124 Review of U.S. Army corps of Engineering Involvement With Alluvial Fan Flooding Problems
TP-125 An Integrated Software Package for Flood Damage Analysis
TP-126 The Value and Depreciation of Existing Facilities: The Case of Reservoirs
TP-127 Floodplain-Management Plan Enumeration
TP-128 Two-Dimensional Floodplain Modeling
TP-129 Status and New Capabilities of Computer Program HEC-6: "Scour and Deposition in Rivers and Reservoirs"
TP-130 Estimating Sediment Delivery and Yield on Alluvial Fans
TP-131 Hydrologic Aspects of Flood Warning - Preparedness Programs
TP-132 Twenty-five Years of Developing, Distributing, and Supporting Hydrologic Engineering Computer Programs
TP-133 Predicting Deposition Patterns in Small Basins
TP-134 Annual Extreme Lake Elevations by Total Probability Theorem
TP-135 A Muskingum-Cunge Channel Flow Routing Method for Drainage Networks
TP-136 Prescriptive Reservoir System Analysis Model - Missouri River System Application
TP-137 A Generalized Simulation Model for Reservoir System Analysis
TP-138 The HEC NexGen Software Development Project
TP-139 Issues for Applications Developers
TP-140 HEC-2 Water Surface Profiles Program
TP-141 HEC Models for Urban Hydrologic Analysis

TP-142 Systems Analysis Applications at the Hydrologic Engineering Center
TP-143 Runoff Prediction Uncertainty for Ungauged Agricultural Watersheds
TP-144 Review of GIS Applications in Hydrologic Modeling
TP-145 Application of Rainfall-Runoff Simulation for Flood Forecasting
TP-146 Application of the HEC Prescriptive Reservoir Model in the Columbia River Systems
TP-147 HEC River Analysis System (HEC-RAS)
TP-148 HEC-6: Reservoir Sediment Control Applications
TP-149 The Hydrologic Modeling System (HEC-HMS): Design and Development Issues
TP-150 The HEC Hydrologic Modeling System
TP-151 Bridge Hydraulic Analysis with HEC-RAS
TP-152 Use of Land Surface Erosion Techniques with Stream Channel Sediment Models
TP-153 Risk-Based Analysis for Corps Flood Project Studies - A Status Report
TP-154 Modeling Water-Resource Systems for Water Quality Management
TP-155 Runoff simulation Using Radar Rainfall Data
TP-156 Status of HEC Next Generation Software Development
TP-157 Unsteady Flow Model for Forecasting Missouri and Mississippi Rivers
TP-158 Corps Water Management System (CWMS)
TP-159 Some History and Hydrology of the Panama Canal
TP-160 Application of Risk-Based Analysis to Planning Reservoir and Levee Flood Damage Reduction Systems
TP-161 Corps Water Management System - Capabilities and Implementation Status

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the date needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY *(Leave blank)*	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED
	January 1993	Technical Paper No. 138

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE
The HEC NexGen Software Development Project

5. FUNDING NUMBERS

6. AUTHOR(S)
CEIWR-HEC

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)
US Army Corps of Engineers
Institute of Water Resources
Hydrologic Engineering Center (HEC)
609 Second Street
Davis, CA 95616-4687

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
TP-138

9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)
N/A

10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
N/A

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES
N/A

12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
Approved for Public Release. Distribution of this document is unlimited.

12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE

13. ABSTRACT *(Maximum 200 words)*

The NexGen project, a five-year project begun in 1990, is developing successor software packages to the existing family of HEC hydrologic engineering computer programs. The project is being accomplished through a management process that employs teams comprised of technical specialists, computer scientists, and consultants. Modern software engineering methods of requirements analysis, prototype development, field-testing, and feedback, are employed. Object-oriented program architecture implemented with C++ and advanced data features of FORTRAN90 are being used. Prototype models of NexGen software packages in catchment and river analysis are now operational and beta-test versions will be completed at the end of this next year. Final packages are expected to be completed on schedule. The package will be functional for RISC-chip and Intel-chip based desktop computers.

14. SUBJECT TERMS
Computer software, computer applications, computer models, water resources, hydrology, hydraulics

15. NUMBER OF PAGES
12

16. PRICE CODE

17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED	UNLIMITED