



**US Army Corps
of Engineers**

Hydrologic Engineering Center

HEC-6: Reservoir Sediment Control Applications

January 1995

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Department of Defense, Executive Services and Communications Directorate (0704-0188). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ORGANIZATION.

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) January 1995			2. REPORT TYPE Technical Paper		3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE HEC-6: Reservoir Sediment Control Applications				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
				5b. GRANT NUMBER		
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S) D. Michael Gee				5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
				5e. TASK NUMBER		
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) US Army Corps of Engineers Institute for Water Resources Hydrologic Engineering Center (HEC) 609 Second Street Davis, CA 95616-4687				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER TP-148		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/ MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
				11. SPONSOR/ MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.						
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Also published by Federal Energy Regulatory Commission in "Notes on Sediment Management in Reservoirs: National and International Perspectives," December 1993.						
14. ABSTRACT A review of the historical development of HEC-6 is given. A description of the model capabilities theory and data requirements is provided. Emphasized throughout is application of HEC-6 to reservoir sediment analysis.						
15. SUBJECT TERMS sediment transport, reservoir sedimentation, HEC-6						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 18	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON	
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER	

HEC-6: Reservoir Sediment Control Applications

January 1995

US Army Corps of Engineers
Institute for Water Resources
Hydrologic Engineering Center
609 Second Street
Davis, CA 95616

(530) 756-1104
(530) 756-8250 FAX
www.hec.usace.army.mil

TP-148

Papers in this series have resulted from technical activities of the Hydrologic Engineering Center. Versions of some of these have been published in technical journals or in conference proceedings. The purpose of this series is to make the information available for use in the Center's training program and for distribution with the Corps of Engineers.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products.

HEC-6: RESERVOIR SEDIMENT CONTROL APPLICATIONS¹

Caveat: ". . . the choice of a model at this time is arbitrary, and the choice of a modeler is probably more important than the choice of a model." (Dawdy and Vanoni 1986)

1. Some History of HEC-6 Development and Applications.²

The following list summarizes efforts of the Corps' Waterways Experiment Station (WES) and Hydrologic Engineering Center (HEC) to improve HEC-6. Note that numerous research and project applications of HEC-6 have been performed by other Corps' offices, government agencies, private consultants, universities, and foreign countries that are not reported here.

- * The "Time Sequencing Method" of scour and deposition calculations was developed at the Little Rock District of the Corps of Engineers in 1967 by Tony Thomas; it led to the development of HEC-6. The motivation for that effort was to confirm land acquisition requirements for Ozark Reservoir on the Arkansas River, recognizing potential future (50-year) sedimentation.
- * The next major development to the numerical model that was to become HEC-6 was made at HEC for the Walla Walla District in the early 70's. The need was to predict future water surface elevations for levees at Lewiston ID on Lower Granite Reservoir. The incorporation of bed material sorting and armoring and expansion of the transport of grain sizes up to 64mm was done at this time.
- * First complete documentation published by HEC for "Scour and Deposition in Rivers and Reservoirs", 1973.
- * First HEC "Sediment Transport" training course presented 1973.
- * The third major development was made in 1974 for the Corps of Engineers' Fort Worth District. They needed to predict future maintenance dredging on the proposed Trinity River Navigation Project which consisted of 22 locks and dams in series. Silt and clay were the dominant sediment classes. The numerical model was modified to handle a system of locks and dams and to transport, deposit, and consolidate clays and silts.
- * Comparison of model results with laboratory flume data published in ASCE Hydraulics Division Journal (Thomas and Prasuhn 1977).

¹ Prepared by D. Michael Gee, Senior Hydraulic Engineer, Hydrologic Engineering Center, 609 2nd St., Davis, California 95616. Published by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission in *Notes on Sediment Management in Reservoirs: National and International Perspectives*, Edited by Drs. Shou-shan Fan and Gregory Morris, 31 December 1993.

² Contributions to this section by Tony Thomas of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Waterways Experiment Station are gratefully acknowledged.

- * Use of the model for analysis of scour of sediment deposits after removal of a dam is demonstrated (HEC, 1977).
- * "Scour and Deposition in Rivers and Reservoirs" is designated as "HEC-6". HEC publishes a user's manual and makes a public release of the model, 1977.
- * The next major development was made for the Walla Walla District in 1978 to deal with a dendritic network of streams. This led to the "Network Version" of HEC-6 developed by WES.
- * Addition of gravel mining capability at HEC, 1980.
- * Addition of graphics using HEC-HGP (Hydraulics Graphics Package), 1980.
- * The next major development was devised for studies of the Toutle and Cowlitz rivers responses to the Mt. St. Helens eruption in 1980. This work was performed for the Corps' Portland District during 1980-1985. Several transport functions were added to the model and the capability of including the effect of high concentrations of fines on the transport of sands using Colby's correction factor was added. A normal depth approximation to supercritical flow was developed. These enhancements were made to the Network Version which was given the name "TABS-1" and became the research version of the model at WES.
- * Publication of HEC Training Document No. 13, "Guidelines for the Calibration and Application of Computer Program HEC-6", 1981.*Application of HEC-6 to the Arkansas River, CO. This included simulation of irrigation diversions and use of HEC-DSS for hydrologic data management (Gee 1983).
- * Release by HEC of a microcomputer (PC) version of HEC-6, 1985.
- * Addition of cohesive sediment erosion algorithms. This was initially made to TABS-1 for Vicksburg District studies of the Red River Navigation Project in 1986.
- * Implementation of a commercial vendor system by HEC for software distribution and support outside of the Federal Government, 1988.
- * The next major development of TABS-1 was made for studies of the Richard B. Russell Reservoir pump-back hydropower project by the Savannah District. This modification changed geometry files from sequential storage to random access storage.
- * A major enhancement was made to TABS-1 for dredging studies in the lower Mississippi River for the New Orleans District in 1991. The rate of dredging could be prescribed as well as the location of the out-fall discharge.
- * Documentation and public release of a network version based on TABS-1, of HEC-6 by HEC, 1991.
- * Major update of TD-13, 1992.
- * Update of user's manual, 1993.
- * Ongoing work at WES with TABS-1 involves coupling of the sediment transport algorithms with the UNET unsteady flow program. It is planned to include the results of this work in the HEC NexGen River Analysis System currently undergoing design and development.

2. HEC-6 Description.

HEC-6 (HEC 1991) is a one-dimensional movable boundary open channel flow and sediment movement model designed to simulate changes in river profiles due to scour and deposition over fairly long time periods (typically years, although applications to single flood events are possible). The continuous flow record is broken into a sequence of steady flows of variable discharge and duration (see Figure 1). For each flow a water surface profile is calculated (using steady flow standard-step backwater computations) thereby providing energy slope, velocity, depth, etc. at each cross section. Potential




Figure 1 Flow Data Compression

sediment transport rates are then computed at each section for the given bed material grain size distribution. These rates, combined with the duration of the flow allow for a volumetric accounting of sediment for each reach. The amount of scour or deposition at each section is then computed and the cross section shape adjusted accordingly (Figure 2). The computations then proceed to the next flow in the sequence and the computation cycle is repeated beginning with the updated geometry. The sediment




Figure 2 HEC-6 Cross Section Adjustment

calculations are performed by grain size fraction thereby allowing for the simulation of hydraulic sorting and armoring. Features of the model include: capability to analyze networks of streams (Figure 3), automatic channel dredging, various levee and encroachment options, and several options for computation of sediment transport rates.




Figure 3 Example Stream System Network

HEC-6 (HEC 1991) is a movable boundary model. It was formulated around Einstein's basic concepts (Einstein 1950) of sediment transport; however, it is designed for the non-equilibrium case. Einstein did not address the non-equilibrium condition, but his "particle exchange" concept was extended in HEC-6 by noting that when sediment is in transport there will be a continual exchange between particles in motion and particles on the bed surface. The residue in the bed may be measurable, as in the case of the "bed material load", or it may be unmeasurable, as in the case of "wash load". The stability of particles on the bed surface may be related to inertia, as in the case of non-cohesive particles; or that stability may be primarily electrochemical, as in the case of cohesive particles. Energy forces acting to entrain a particle may be primarily gravity induced, as in the case of flow in inland rivers; or the forces may be combinations of energy sources such as gravity, tides, waves, and density currents, as in the coastal zone. Different types of sediment require different entrainment functions depending upon the propensity of the sediment to change hydrodynamic and physical properties of the flow and upon the sensitivity of the sediment type to water temperature and chemistry.

a. Equations of flow. The equations for conservation of energy and water mass are simplified by eliminating the time derivative from the motion equation which leaves the gradually varied steady flow equation. It is solved using the standard-step method for water surface profiles. The following terms are included:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \left(\frac{\alpha U^2}{2g} \right)}{\partial x} = S_e \quad \text{(conservation of energy)} \quad (1)$$

where:

- g = acceleration due to gravity
- h = water surface elevation
- S_e = slope of energy line
- U = flow velocity
- x = distance in the direction of flow
- α = correction for transverse distribution of flow velocity

$$Q = UA + Q_1 \quad (\text{conservation of water}) \quad (2)$$

where:

- A = cross-sectional area of flow
- Q₁ = lateral or tributary inflow
- Q = main stem water discharge downstream from Q₁
- U = main stem mean water velocity upstream from Q₁

b. *Friction and form losses.* Both friction and form losses are included in S_e; bed roughness is prescribed with Manning *n* values. *n* values may vary with water discharge, location, or be related to bed material size (Limerinos 1970).

c. *Equation of sediment continuity.* The Exner equation is used for conservation of sediment:

$$\frac{\partial Q_s}{\partial x} + B_s \frac{\partial Y_s}{\partial t} - q_s = 0 \quad (\text{conservation of sediment}) \quad (3)$$

where:

- B_s = width of bed sediment control volume
- Q_s = volumetric sediment discharge rate
- q_s = lateral or tributary sediment discharge rate
- t = time
- Y_s = bed surface elevation

d. *Equation of sediment transport.* Einstein's (Einstein 1950) work is definitive and presents a complete view of the processes of equilibrium sediment transportation; it, however, has been more useful for understanding those processes than for application, partially because of the numerical complexity of the computations. Many other researchers have contributed sediment transport functions - always attempting to develop one which is reliable when compared with a variety of field data. The resulting functions are numerous, yet no single function has proved superior to the others for all conditions. Therefore, the following functional form is presented here to show the importance of various parameters.

$$G = f(U, d, S_e, B, D_{eff}, SG_s, G_{sf}, D_{si}, P_i, SG_f, T, \dots) \quad (\text{sediment transport}) \quad (4)$$

where:

- B = effective width of flow
- d = effective depth of flow

D_{eff}	=	effective particle diameter of the mixture
D_{si}	=	geometric mean of particle diameters in each size class i
G	=	total bed material discharge rate in units of weight/time (e.g., tons/day)
G_{sf}	=	grain shape factor
P_i	=	fraction of particles of the i^{th} size class that are found in the bed
S_e	=	slope of energy grade line
SG_f	=	specific gravity of fluid
SG_s	=	specific gravity of sediment particles
T	=	water temperature
U	=	flow velocity

Sediment transport rates are calculated for grain sizes up to 64 mm (**soon to be expanded to 2000 mm**). Sediment sizes larger than 64 mm, which may exist in the bed, are used for sorting computations but are not transported. For deposition and erosion of clay and silt sizes up to 0.0625 mm, Krone's (1962) method is used for deposition and Ariathurai's (1976) adaptation of Parthenaides' (1965) method is used for scour. The default for clay and silt allows only deposition using a method based on settling velocity.

The sediment transport function for bed material load is selected by the user. Transport functions available in the program include the following:

- a. Toffaleti's (1966) transport function
- b. Madden's (1963) modification of Laursen's (1958) relationship
- c. Yang's (1973) stream power for sands
- d. Duboys transport function (Vanoni 1975)
- e. Ackers-White (1973) transport function
- f. Colby (1964) transport function
- g. Toffaleti (1966) and Schoklitsch (1930) combination
- h. Meyer-Peter and Müller (1948)
- i. Toffaleti and Meyer-Peter and Müller combination
- j. Madden's (1985, unpublished) modification of Laursen's (1958) relationship
- k. Parthenaides (1965), Ariathurai (1976) and Krone (1962) for cohesive sediments
- l. Copeland's (1990) modification of Laursen's relationship (Copeland and Thomas 1989)
- m. User specification of transport coefficients based upon observed data

e. Computational methodology. Descriptions of the computational methodology used in HEC-6 and application of the program are presented in the HEC-6 user's manual (HEC 1991).

Experience has shown that successful application of movable boundary models may require substantial effort to reproduce field observations, i.e., calibration. The general topic of application and calibration of numerical river models is thoroughly covered in Cunge, et al. (1980).

3. Specific Reservoir Simulation Capabilities.

- * User can specify water surface elevations (internal boundary conditions) to simulate operation and control of reservoir pool elevations as functions of time.
- * Allows for deposition and compaction of cohesive sediments with time.
- * Provides for re-entrainment of cohesive sediments based on equations presented by Parthenaides (1965).

- * Can simulate deposition at various pool levels and, therefore, compute impacts of reservoir deposits on upstream water surface profiles.
- * Has a "parallel flow" capability, which means that several discharges in the time series of flows can be modeled without updating boundary geometry - achieves computational efficiency.
- * Can compute trap efficiencies and perform volumetric accounting of sediment by grain size.

4. Some Limitations of HEC-6 with Regard to Reservoirs.

- * It is a **one-dimensional** model.
- * Density variations due to thermal stratification or sediment concentrations are not included in the hydraulics.
- * Modeling of the structure is limited by what the user can do with cross sections and water surface elevations.

5. Some Additional HEC and Corps of Engineers Software for Reservoir Analysis.

- * HEC-5 "Simulation of Flood Control And Conservation Systems" (HEC 1982).
- * HEC-5Q "Simulation of Flood Control and Conservation Systems - Appendix on Water Quality Analysis" (HEC 1986).
- * HEC-PRM "Prescriptive Reservoir System Analysis Model - Missouri River System Application" (HEC Technical Paper No. 136 Nov. 1991).
- * HEC NexGen project.
- * TABS-2 "Open Channel Flow and Sedimentation," two-dimensional flow and sediment modeling system (vertically averaged).

a. Purpose. The purpose of the TABS-2 system (Thomas and McAnally, 1985) is to provide a complete set of generalized computer programs for two-dimensional numerical modeling of open-channel flow, transport processes, and sedimentation. These processes are modeled to help analyze hydraulic engineering and environmental conditions in waterways. The system is designed to be used by engineers and scientists who need not be computer experts.

b. Description. TABS-2 is a collection of generalized computer programs and utility codes integrated into a numerical modeling system for studying two-dimensional hydraulics, transport, and sedimentation processes in rivers, reservoirs, bays, and estuaries. A schematic representation of the system is shown in Figure 4.

c. Uses. It can be used either as a stand-alone solution technique or as a step in the hybrid modeling approach. The basic concept is to calculate water-surface elevations, current patterns, dispersive transport, sediment erosion, transport and deposition, resulting bed surface elevations, and feedback to




Figure 4 TABS-2 Schematic

hydraulics. Existing and proposed geometry can be analyzed to determine the impact of project designs on flows, sedimentation, and salinity. The calculated velocity pattern around structures and islands is particularly useful.

REFERENCES

- Ackers, P., and White, W. R., "Sediment transport: new approach and analysis," *Journal of the Hydraulics Division*, ASCE, Vol. 99, No. HY11, pp. 2041-2060, 1973.
- Ariathurai, R., and Krone, R. B., "Finite element model for cohesive sediment transport," *Journal of the Hydraulics Division*, ASCE, pp. 323-338, March 1976.
- Colby, B. R., "Practical computations of bed-material discharge," *Proceedings*, ASCE, Vol. 90, No. HY2, 1964.
- Copeland, Ronald R., and Thomas, W. A., *Corte Madera Creek Sedimentation Study*, Technical Report HL89-6, USACE, Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS, April 1989.
- Copeland, Ronald R., *Waimea Sedimentation Study, Kauai, Hawaii, Numerical Model Investigation*, Technical Report HL90-3, USACE, Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS, May 1990.
- Cunge, J. A., Holly, F. M., and Verwey, A., *Practical Aspects of Computational River Hydraulics*, 1980, Pitman, London.
- Dawdy, D. R. and Vanoni, V. A., Modeling Alluvial Channels, *Water Resources Research*, Vol. 22, No. 9, pp 71s-81s, August 1986.
- Einstein, Hans Albert, "The Bed-Load Function for Sediment Transportation in Open Channel Flows," U.S. Department of Agriculture Technical Bulletin No. 1026, Soil Conservation Service, Washington, D.C., 1950, 71 pp.
- Gee, D. M., "Prediction of the Effects of a Flood Control Project on a Meandering Stream," 1983, Proceedings of the ASCE Conference Rivers '83 (also published as HEC Technical Paper No. 97).
- Krone, R. B., *Flume Studies of the Transport of Sediment in Estuarial Shoaling Processes*, Hydraulic Engineering Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 1962.
- Laursen, E. M., "The total sediment load of streams," *Journal of the Hydraulics Division*, ASCE, Vol. 84, No. HY1, p. 1530-1 to 1530-36, February 1958.
- Limerinos, J. T., "Determination of the Manning Coefficient from Measured Bed Roughness in Natural Channels," Water Supply Paper 1898B, 1970, U.S. Geological Survey.
- Madden, E. B., "Channel Design for Modified Sediment Regime Conditions on the Arkansas River," Paper No. 39, *Proceedings of the Federal Inter-Agency Sedimentation Conference*, Miscellaneous Publication No. 970, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Government Printing Office, 1963, pp. 335-352.
- Meyer-Peter, E., and Müller, R., "Formulas for bed-load transport," *International Association of Hydraulic Research*, 2nd Meeting, Stockholm, 1948.
- Parthenaides, E., "Erosion and Deposition of Cohesive Soils," *Journal of the Hydraulics Division*, ASCE, March 1965, pp. 755-771.

Schoklitsch, A., *Handbuch des Wasserbaues*, Springer, Vienna (2nd ed.), English Translation (1937) by S. Shulits, 1930.

Thomas, W. A. and Prasuhn, A. L., "Mathematical Modeling of Scour and Deposition," *Journal of the Hydraulics Division, ASCE*, Vol. 103, No. HY8, 1977 (Aug.), pp. 851-863.

Thomas W. A. and McAnally, W. H., "Open-Channel Flow and Sedimentation TABS-2," User's Manual, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Waterways Experiment Station (WES), Instruction Report HL-85-1, 1985.

Toffaleti, F. B., *A Procedure for Computation of Total River Sand Discharge and Detailed Distribution, Bed to Surface*, Committee on Channel Stabilization, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, November 1966.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center (HEC), "Effects of Dam Removal: An Approach to Sedimentation," Technical Paper No. 50, 1977, Davis, CA.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center (HEC), "HEC-5, Simulation of Flood Control and Conservation Systems, User's Manual," April 1982, Davis, CA.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center (HEC), "HEC-5, Simulation of Flood Control and Conservation Systems, Appendix on Water Quality Analysis," Sept. 1986, Davis, CA.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center (HEC), "HEC-6, Scour and Deposition in Rivers and Reservoirs, User's Manual," August 1993, Davis, CA.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center (HEC), "Prescriptive Reservoir System Analysis Model - Missouri River System Application," Technical Paper No. 136, Nov. 1991, Davis, CA.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center (HEC), "Guidelines for the Calibration and Application of Computer Program HEC-6," Oct. 1992, Davis, CA.

Vanoni, Vito A., ed., "Sedimentation Engineering," American Society of Civil Engineers Manual No. 54, 1975, New York, NY.

Yang, C. T., "Incipient motion and sediment transport," *Journal of the Hydraulics Division, ASCE*, Vol. 99, No. HY10, Proc. Paper 10067, pp. 1679-1704, October 1973.

Technical Paper Series

TP-1	Use of Interrelated Records to Simulate Streamflow	TP-39	A Method for Analyzing Effects of Dam Failures in Design Studies
TP-2	Optimization Techniques for Hydrologic Engineering	TP-40	Storm Drainage and Urban Region Flood Control Planning
TP-3	Methods of Determination of Safe Yield and Compensation Water from Storage Reservoirs	TP-41	HEC-5C, A Simulation Model for System Formulation and Evaluation
TP-4	Functional Evaluation of a Water Resources System	TP-42	Optimal Sizing of Urban Flood Control Systems
TP-5	Streamflow Synthesis for Ungaged Rivers	TP-43	Hydrologic and Economic Simulation of Flood Control Aspects of Water Resources Systems
TP-6	Simulation of Daily Streamflow	TP-44	Sizing Flood Control Reservoir Systems by System Analysis
TP-7	Pilot Study for Storage Requirements for Low Flow Augmentation	TP-45	Techniques for Real-Time Operation of Flood Control Reservoirs in the Merrimack River Basin
TP-8	Worth of Streamflow Data for Project Design - A Pilot Study	TP-46	Spatial Data Analysis of Nonstructural Measures
TP-9	Economic Evaluation of Reservoir System Accomplishments	TP-47	Comprehensive Flood Plain Studies Using Spatial Data Management Techniques
TP-10	Hydrologic Simulation in Water-Yield Analysis	TP-48	Direct Runoff Hydrograph Parameters Versus Urbanization
TP-11	Survey of Programs for Water Surface Profiles	TP-49	Experience of HEC in Disseminating Information on Hydrological Models
TP-12	Hypothetical Flood Computation for a Stream System	TP-50	Effects of Dam Removal: An Approach to Sedimentation
TP-13	Maximum Utilization of Scarce Data in Hydrologic Design	TP-51	Design of Flood Control Improvements by Systems Analysis: A Case Study
TP-14	Techniques for Evaluating Long-Term Reservoir Yields	TP-52	Potential Use of Digital Computer Ground Water Models
TP-15	Hydrostatistics - Principles of Application	TP-53	Development of Generalized Free Surface Flow Models Using Finite Element Techniques
TP-16	A Hydrologic Water Resource System Modeling Techniques	TP-54	Adjustment of Peak Discharge Rates for Urbanization
TP-17	Hydrologic Engineering Techniques for Regional Water Resources Planning	TP-55	The Development and Servicing of Spatial Data Management Techniques in the Corps of Engineers
TP-18	Estimating Monthly Streamflows Within a Region	TP-56	Experiences of the Hydrologic Engineering Center in Maintaining Widely Used Hydrologic and Water Resource Computer Models
TP-19	Suspended Sediment Discharge in Streams	TP-57	Flood Damage Assessments Using Spatial Data Management Techniques
TP-20	Computer Determination of Flow Through Bridges	TP-58	A Model for Evaluating Runoff-Quality in Metropolitan Master Planning
TP-21	An Approach to Reservoir Temperature Analysis	TP-59	Testing of Several Runoff Models on an Urban Watershed
TP-22	A Finite Difference Methods of Analyzing Liquid Flow in Variably Saturated Porous Media	TP-60	Operational Simulation of a Reservoir System with Pumped Storage
TP-23	Uses of Simulation in River Basin Planning	TP-61	Technical Factors in Small Hydropower Planning
TP-24	Hydroelectric Power Analysis in Reservoir Systems	TP-62	Flood Hydrograph and Peak Flow Frequency Analysis
TP-25	Status of Water Resource System Analysis	TP-63	HEC Contribution to Reservoir System Operation
TP-26	System Relationships for Panama Canal Water Supply	TP-64	Determining Peak-Discharge Frequencies in an Urbanizing Watershed: A Case Study
TP-27	System Analysis of the Panama Canal Water Supply	TP-65	Feasibility Analysis in Small Hydropower Planning
TP-28	Digital Simulation of an Existing Water Resources System	TP-66	Reservoir Storage Determination by Computer Simulation of Flood Control and Conservation Systems
TP-29	Computer Application in Continuing Education	TP-67	Hydrologic Land Use Classification Using LANDSAT
TP-30	Drought Severity and Water Supply Dependability	TP-68	Interactive Nonstructural Flood-Control Planning
TP-31	Development of System Operation Rules for an Existing System by Simulation	TP-69	Critical Water Surface by Minimum Specific Energy Using the Parabolic Method
TP-32	Alternative Approaches to Water Resources System Simulation		
TP-33	System Simulation of Integrated Use of Hydroelectric and Thermal Power Generation		
TP-34	Optimizing flood Control Allocation for a Multipurpose Reservoir		
TP-35	Computer Models for Rainfall-Runoff and River Hydraulic Analysis		
TP-36	Evaluation of Drought Effects at Lake Atitlan		
TP-37	Downstream Effects of the Levee Overtopping at Wilkes-Barre, PA, During Tropical Storm Agnes		
TP-38	Water Quality Evaluation of Aquatic Systems		

- TP-70 Corps of Engineers Experience with Automatic Calibration of a Precipitation-Runoff Model
- TP-71 Determination of Land Use from Satellite Imagery for Input to Hydrologic Models
- TP-72 Application of the Finite Element Method to Vertically Stratified Hydrodynamic Flow and Water Quality
- TP-73 Flood Mitigation Planning Using HEC-SAM
- TP-74 Hydrographs by Single Linear Reservoir Model
- TP-75 HEC Activities in Reservoir Analysis
- TP-76 Institutional Support of Water Resource Models
- TP-77 Investigation of Soil Conservation Service Urban Hydrology Techniques
- TP-78 Potential for Increasing the Output of Existing Hydroelectric Plants
- TP-79 Potential Energy and Capacity Gains from Flood Control Storage Reallocation at Existing U.S. Hydropower Reservoirs
- TP-80 Use of Non-Sequential Techniques in the Analysis of Power Potential at Storage Projects
- TP-81 Data Management Systems of Water Resources Planning
- TP-82 The New HEC-1 Flood Hydrograph Package
- TP-83 River and Reservoir Systems Water Quality Modeling Capability
- TP-84 Generalized Real-Time Flood Control System Model
- TP-85 Operation Policy Analysis: Sam Rayburn Reservoir
- TP-86 Training the Practitioner: The Hydrologic Engineering Center Program
- TP-87 Documentation Needs for Water Resources Models
- TP-88 Reservoir System Regulation for Water Quality Control
- TP-89 A Software System to Aid in Making Real-Time Water Control Decisions
- TP-90 Calibration, Verification and Application of a Two-Dimensional Flow Model
- TP-91 HEC Software Development and Support
- TP-92 Hydrologic Engineering Center Planning Models
- TP-93 Flood Routing Through a Flat, Complex Flood Plain Using a One-Dimensional Unsteady Flow Computer Program
- TP-94 Dredged-Material Disposal Management Model
- TP-95 Infiltration and Soil Moisture Redistribution in HEC-1
- TP-96 The Hydrologic Engineering Center Experience in Nonstructural Planning
- TP-97 Prediction of the Effects of a Flood Control Project on a Meandering Stream
- TP-98 Evolution in Computer Programs Causes Evolution in Training Needs: The Hydrologic Engineering Center Experience
- TP-99 Reservoir System Analysis for Water Quality
- TP-100 Probable Maximum Flood Estimation - Eastern United States
- TP-101 Use of Computer Program HEC-5 for Water Supply Analysis
- TP-102 Role of Calibration in the Application of HEC-6
- TP-103 Engineering and Economic Considerations in Formulating
- TP-104 Modeling Water Resources Systems for Water Quality
- TP-105 Use of a Two-Dimensional Flow Model to Quantify Aquatic Habitat
- TP-106 Flood-Runoff Forecasting with HEC-1F
- TP-107 Dredged-Material Disposal System Capacity Expansion
- TP-108 Role of Small Computers in Two-Dimensional Flow Modeling
- TP-109 One-Dimensional Model for Mud Flows
- TP-110 Subdivision Froude Number
- TP-111 HEC-5Q: System Water Quality Modeling
- TP-112 New Developments in HEC Programs for Flood Control
- TP-113 Modeling and Managing Water Resource Systems for Water Quality
- TP-114 Accuracy of Computer Water Surface Profiles - Executive Summary
- TP-115 Application of Spatial-Data Management Techniques in Corps Planning
- TP-116 The HEC's Activities in Watershed Modeling
- TP-117 HEC-1 and HEC-2 Applications on the Microcomputer
- TP-118 Real-Time Snow Simulation Model for the Monongahela River Basin
- TP-119 Multi-Purpose, Multi-Reservoir Simulation on a PC
- TP-120 Technology Transfer of Corps' Hydrologic Models
- TP-121 Development, Calibration and Application of Runoff Forecasting Models for the Allegheny River Basin
- TP-122 The Estimation of Rainfall for Flood Forecasting Using Radar and Rain Gage Data
- TP-123 Developing and Managing a Comprehensive Reservoir Analysis Model
- TP-124 Review of U.S. Army corps of Engineering Involvement With Alluvial Fan Flooding Problems
- TP-125 An Integrated Software Package for Flood Damage Analysis
- TP-126 The Value and Depreciation of Existing Facilities: The Case of Reservoirs
- TP-127 Floodplain-Management Plan Enumeration
- TP-128 Two-Dimensional Floodplain Modeling
- TP-129 Status and New Capabilities of Computer Program HEC-6: "Scour and Deposition in Rivers and Reservoirs"
- TP-130 Estimating Sediment Delivery and Yield on Alluvial Fans
- TP-131 Hydrologic Aspects of Flood Warning - Preparedness Programs
- TP-132 Twenty-five Years of Developing, Distributing, and Supporting Hydrologic Engineering Computer Programs
- TP-133 Predicting Deposition Patterns in Small Basins
- TP-134 Annual Extreme Lake Elevations by Total Probability Theorem
- TP-135 A Muskingum-Cunge Channel Flow Routing Method for Drainage Networks
- TP-136 Prescriptive Reservoir System Analysis Model - Missouri River System Application
- TP-137 A Generalized Simulation Model for Reservoir System Analysis
- TP-138 The HEC NexGen Software Development Project
- TP-139 Issues for Applications Developers
- TP-140 HEC-2 Water Surface Profiles Program
- TP-141 HEC Models for Urban Hydrologic Analysis

- TP-142 Systems Analysis Applications at the Hydrologic Engineering Center
- TP-143 Runoff Prediction Uncertainty for Ungauged Agricultural Watersheds
- TP-144 Review of GIS Applications in Hydrologic Modeling
- TP-145 Application of Rainfall-Runoff Simulation for Flood Forecasting
- TP-146 Application of the HEC Prescriptive Reservoir Model in the Columbia River Systems
- TP-147 HEC River Analysis System (HEC-RAS)
- TP-148 HEC-6: Reservoir Sediment Control Applications
- TP-149 The Hydrologic Modeling System (HEC-HMS): Design and Development Issues
- TP-150 The HEC Hydrologic Modeling System
- TP-151 Bridge Hydraulic Analysis with HEC-RAS
- TP-152 Use of Land Surface Erosion Techniques with Stream Channel Sediment Models
- TP-153 Risk-Based Analysis for Corps Flood Project Studies - A Status Report
- TP-154 Modeling Water-Resource Systems for Water Quality Management
- TP-155 Runoff simulation Using Radar Rainfall Data
- TP-156 Status of HEC Next Generation Software Development
- TP-157 Unsteady Flow Model for Forecasting Missouri and Mississippi Rivers
- TP-158 Corps Water Management System (CWMS)
- TP-159 Some History and Hydrology of the Panama Canal
- TP-160 Application of Risk-Based Analysis to Planning Reservoir and Levee Flood Damage Reduction Systems
- TP-161 Corps Water Management System - Capabilities and Implementation Status

