



**US Army Corps
of Engineers**

Hydrologic Engineering Center

Hydrostatistics – Principles of Application

July 1969

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Department of Defense, Executive Services and Communications Directorate (0704-0188). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ORGANIZATION.

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) July 1969		2. REPORT TYPE Technical Paper		3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Hydrostatistics – Principles of Application				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Leo R. Beard				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) US Army Corps of Engineers Institute for Water Resources Hydrologic Engineering Center (HEC) 609 Second Street Davis, CA 95616-4687				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER TP-15	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/ MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/ MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Presented at the International Seminar for Hydrology Professors, University of Illinois, 13-25 July 1969.					
14. ABSTRACT The purpose of this paper was to discuss briefly and very generally the nature of hydrologic engineering problems that could be solved through application of statistics and to examine the basic concepts involved in the application of statistics to such problems. The importance of applying statistics to such problems. The importance of applying statistics to a complete decision process, rather than to an incompletely separable link of that process, was emphasized. Hydrostatistics which have historically been concerned primarily with the determination of the probability or frequency of events and the correlation or interrelation among events, were discussed. It was said that in most cases of hydrologic design, it is traditional to select a design criterion that expresses the adequacy in terms of probability of exceedence. An example of a water supply reservoir that might be designed for a drought that would be exceeded once in twenty-five years was given. Discussions were based upon the objective of determining expectation over a long period of operation of a specific project design, rather than evaluation of the probability of hydrologic events independent of any design. These included probability of a single event, indirect probability determinations, coincident probability determinations and complex probability problems.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS hydrologic properties, statistical methods, decision making, design criteria probability, time					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 22	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER

Hydrostatistics – Principles of Application

July 1969

US Army Corps of Engineers
Institute for Water Resources
Hydrologic Engineering Center
609 Second Street
Davis, CA 95616

(530) 756-1104
(530) 756-8250 FAX
www.hec.usace.army.mil

TP-15

Papers in this series have resulted from technical activities of the Hydrologic Engineering Center. Versions of some of these have been published in technical journals or in conference proceedings. The purpose of this series is to make the information available for use in the Center's training program and for distribution with the Corps of Engineers.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products.

HYDROSTATISTICS - PRINCIPLES OF APPLICATION¹

by

Leo R. Beard²

INTRODUCTION

There is growing concern with the gap of several years that exists between development of engineering techniques in the academic community and the application of these techniques to real problems in the so-called practicing profession. One of the reasons for this gap is that the real nature of problems in planning, design and operations studies is not communicated from the field to the academic community. Consequently, many outstanding techniques are developed that are not readily applicable to real problems. This is particularly true in the field of hydrostatistics. It is the purpose of this paper to discuss briefly and very generally the nature of hydrologic engineering problems that can be solved through application of statistics and to examine the basic concepts involved in the application of statistics to such problems. The importance of applying statistics to a complete decision process, rather than to an incompletely separable link of that process, is emphasized.

HYDROSTATISTICS

Hydrostatistics has historically been concerned primarily with the determination of the probability or frequency of events and the correlation or interrelation among events. A great deal of literature exists on the nature of frequency distributions, multiple regression techniques and multi-variate and

¹For presentation at the International Seminar for Hydrology Professors, University of Illinois, 13-25 July 1969.

²Director, The Hydrologic Engineering Center, Corps of Engineers, Davis, California.

component analysis. The recent increased activity in stochastic hydrology has greatly expanded the field of hydrostatistics and puts greater emphasis on the exact nature of multi-variate distributions and serial correlation. It promises to improve present solution techniques and to provide solutions to problems that have heretofore been difficult or impossible to solve.

APPLICATION OBJECTIVES

In many and probably most cases of hydrologic design, it is traditional to select a design criterion that expresses the adequacy in terms of probability of exceedence. For example, storm drain laterals might be designed (more or less arbitrarily) for the flow that would be exceeded once in five years, or a water supply reservoir might similarly be designed for a drought that would be exceeded once in 25 years. It is suggested that such criteria should be replaced as soon as possible by more fundamental criteria such as a threshold criterion for incremental benefits in relation to cost, subject to a minimum-standards criterion. This is not a simple step, because it ordinarily involves an extremely elaborate analysis to determine the expectation or expected return from the project over an extended period of time for many alternative designs. In general, the discussions that follow will be based on the objective of determining expectation over a long period of operation of a specific project design, rather than the evaluation of the probability of hydrologic events independent of any design.

PROBABILITY OF A SINGLE EVENT

Unfortunately, probability determinations in hydrology cannot be based on deductions from exact knowledge of the mechanism that generates events, as it can in many games of chance. Probability inferences must be based on examination of similar events that have already occurred and on some reasoning as to the manner in which future events might occur in relation to past events.

If events that have occurred are independent of each other and if there is a large number of observations, then reasonably accurate estimates of future probabilities can be made. In general, these estimates are based on the assumption that the frequency with which a specified magnitude will be exceeded in the future is equal to the frequency that it has been exceeded in the past, subject to adjustments for changes in controlling conditions. Methods for making such estimates are described in references 4, 12 and 28.

In making such estimates, difficulties occur primarily when the number of observed events is relatively small in relation to the frequency of future events that is to be estimated. The mathematical nature of the frequency distribution is important in such cases and usually must be deduced from the distribution of the observed events. These events can be erratic and non-representative of future events. Even for sample sizes as large as 100, which must be considered as statistically small, probability estimates can be in error by very large amounts. Consequently, it is ordinarily not wise to accept estimates based only on the observed data, if any additional light can be shed on the problem.

One way of shedding additional light on the problem is to determine a function that is descriptive of the type of phenomena being studied. For example, the logarithmic normal distribution is fairly descriptive of the distribution of rainfall observed at most locations. It is certainly better to use this distribution for a specific study, rather than to base the entire study on data observed for a particular location. The two parameters required for fitting this distribution to the data can be estimated from the data, but even these are uncertain estimates and contribute considerable error to the subsequent frequency estimates. In order to further improve the reliability, such statistics can be estimated more accurately by examining their variation

throughout a large region, rather than basing the estimate only on a single location.

In some cases, much pertinent information is disregarded because of computational and logic difficulties. Often, the largest flow for a year is the only item studied in a frequency analysis, and all other data during the year are ignored. It is expected that stochastic processes discussed below will provide means for extracting and using this additional pertinent information in the record.

After all possible information has been taken into account, there is still considerable uncertainty as to the exact nature of the distribution that characterizes all future events. The degree of this uncertainty can be estimated to some extent by statistical processes. For example, the standard error of an estimated mean can be computed, and a frequency distribution of the errors that can occur in estimating a mean value can be described. The same thing is true of other statistics, such as the standard deviation and skew coefficient. The estimates of these error distributions can be made only under simplifying assumptions relative to the basic frequency distribution. Nevertheless, such error estimates can shed considerable light on the reliability of the frequency determinations.

Estimates of reliability can be used by an engineer to assist his judgment, but it is hoped that they can also be used for objective computations that do not involve personal judgment. A very promising approach is through the computation of expected probability, described in reference 3. This consists of considering the likelihood that a statistic can be larger or smaller than the best estimate by specified amounts and weighting the consequences of any contemplated decision in relation to these likelihoods. This is a rather complex conception but is probably fundamental to objective determinations of hydrologic probabilities and their employment in water resources planning. Very little development of this idea has taken place so far.

INDIRECT PROBABILITY DETERMINATIONS

In many cases, it is not feasible or desirable to base probability determinations for a particular type of event directly on historical observations of such events. For example, the probability of flood damage cannot ordinarily be based directly on historical observations, because changes in development of the flood plain, channel improvements, etc., ordinarily cause erratic variations in flood damages. Also, it is desired to determine flood damages under conditions when the area is protected by flood control works, which requires an evaluation of the effects of protective works on damages. It is ordinary practice to construct a damage frequency curve by combining a flood frequency curve with a relationship between flood magnitude and damages, which implies that for a fixed magnitude of flood there is a fixed damage. This is ordinarily not true of agricultural damages, because the damage is dependent on the state of the crops at the time of flooding. To a lesser extent, it is also not true of other damages, because protective works function differently during different floods, and because emergency measures have varying degrees of effectiveness during different floods. Consequently, there is an added degree of uncertainty introduced when making indirect probability determinations.

This added degree of uncertainty can be extremely large in some applications. For example, rainfall-runoff computations ordinarily include such a high degree of variation between different events that a frequency curve of runoff cannot effectively be computed from a frequency curve of rainfall. Usually, the largest source of error is due to the fact that rainfall for the entire contributing area must be estimated from measurements at a few points, which are usually not representative. There are many other factors that contribute toward this uncertainty, and extreme caution should be used in estimating runoff frequency from rainfall frequency.

Practically no work has been done in evaluating the effects of variations in the relationship between two events A and B on the frequency curve for event B based on the frequency curve of event A. Where there is not a very high degree of correlation between the two types of events, the indirect probability approach should probably not be used.

COINCIDENT PROBABILITY DETERMINATIONS

One of the more difficult problems in hydrostatistics is the determination of coincident events. It is important, for example, to evaluate the frequency of runoff on the landside of a levee at times when the river stage exceeds various specified values. This is pertinent to the design of interior drainage and pumping facilities. Some reservoirs have a large amount of conservation space compared to flood control space, and it is important to determine the probability that floods will occur at low conservation stage as well as at high conservation stage. Problems of this type can be solved by use of rather elaborate probability procedures. In general, the more stable of the two events is divided into ranges of magnitude, and the probability that the magnitude within that range will prevail at any specified time is first established. Then, for each range, a frequency curve of the other event is established that corresponds to the condition that the first event is within that range. The quantity of interest, which might be the maximum pool stage, is then determined for each value of the frequency curve, based on a mid-range value of the more stable variable. In this manner, a frequency curve of that quantity is established for each range of the more stable variable. The frequencies represented are then weighted in proportion to the probabilities corresponding to the ranges of the more stable variable. In order to be reasonably sure that the extreme values thus determined are reasonable, it might be necessary to interchange the two variables to check alternative

possibilities of rare combinations. Even when such analysis is done most carefully, the results must be considered approximate.

In cases where the two events are independent of each other, such a coincident frequency computation can be relatively simple. However, in most cases there is considerable inter-dependence, and the analysis becomes complex and subject to considerable uncertainty.

COMPLEX PROBABILITY PROBLEMS

The computation of probabilities for some hydrologic variables can be extremely complex. For example, the frequency of reservoir stage during the recreation season at a particular reservoir that is affected by a system of diversions and reservoirs upstream can be extremely difficult to establish. The only approach that has been successfully used in such cases is to simulate the operation of the reservoir system through a large number of years and to treat the resulting reservoir stages in the same manner that observed events are used in establishing frequency curves.

APPROACH TO A GENERAL SOLUTION

As can be noted from the above discussions, the ordinary techniques of frequency analysis leave a great deal to be desired. There is considerable uncertainty in many of the direct applications of statistics as described above, and some of these uncertainties are so large as to require an alternative approach such as the application of stochastic hydrology.

The development of computer technology and some advances in stochastic hydrology show promise of greatly improving the techniques of frequency analysis and the reliability of results; even for simple problems as well as for the problems that are difficult to solve by other means. As an example of a simple application, direct construction of a frequency curve of annual maximum flows based on the few values observed in a short record might be replaced by

constructing a daily streamflow generation model based on all daily flows, then generating 1000 years of flows and constructing a curve from the 1000 maximum events.

Regardless of the type of problem, a systems analysis type of simulation can be performed that will determine the magnitude of the pertinent quantity based on given magnitudes of variables for which some data are available. These input variables can be generated stochastically in any numbers, and the simulation study performed to obtain a large "sample" of the pertinent variables for which frequency determinations are required. For example, a multi-reservoir system analysis can be made for a 1000-year period, using stochastically generated inputs. Then a frequency curve of any desired parameter, such as maximum outflow for a given reservoir, can easily be constructed, based on 1000 years of hypothetical observations. The reliability of such a curve would, of course, be far less than one based on 1000 years of actual observations. Various methods for stochastically generating hydrologic variables are discussed in several references cited herein.

Stochastic hydrology is still in the very early stages of development, and there is considerable uncertainty as to the actual reliability of the extreme combinations of events generated. Nevertheless, where records are short or the problems are complex, considerable advantage can be gained by application of these techniques at the present stage of development.

The application of stochastic processes to actual hydrologic problems constitutes a great challenge in the field of hydrostatistics. Mathematical processes that have been considered satisfactory for other purposes are no longer adequate, because they contain minor inadequacies that can accumulate to cause gross errors. For example, in multiple regression analysis, a regression equation is developed from a set of data. This equation is then

applied to new values of all independent variables in order to predict a "best estimate" of the dependent variable. This procedure ignores new information contained in the new data that bears on the interrelationships among the independent variables. In some stochastic applications, it is absolutely essential to take this additional information into account if valid answers are to be obtained.

Another area in which stochastic processes require new statistical developments is in the field of statistical inference. The reliability of individual statistics such as a mean or standard deviation of sample data can be readily expressed for certain simple assumed distributions of the data, as discussed above. Even the combined effect of uncertainties in a mean and standard deviation for a normal distribution can be evaluated adequately. However, the combined effects of the unreliability of the large number of interrelated statistics that are pertinent to a hydrologic study is almost impossible to evaluate without stochastic generation. Evaluation of such unreliability is essential to the concept of expected probability and to related concepts that should be used in selecting a best plan of development or operation. In general, these concepts relate to the fact that a great range of "parent populations" could have produced the recorded values, and we should consider the consequences that would result if any of the populations were true.

COMPUTATIONAL FEASIBILITY AND EFFICIENCY

The employment of stochastic processes in combination with systems analysis can require tremendous amounts of computation, even for relatively simple hydrologic or water resource systems. In order to determine the frequency or probability or expectation of a variable such as project benefits reliably, it might be necessary to perform operation studies for thousands of

years of synthetic input data. When several plans of development must be considered, the amount of computation required can rapidly become prohibitive.

The question then arises as to the minimum length of operation study that is justified in order to produce reliable results. In general, a partial answer can be obtained only by performing a number of short operation studies in order to examine the variation of results, because direct determination of reliability of results from reliability of input quantities is extremely complicated. Accordingly, it is suggested that about 4 periods of about 25 years of operation studies be performed, and that the standard deviation of the pertinent output quantity be computed. The standard error of the mean of these 4 quantities would represent the reliability of an estimate based on 100 years of generated data. It can be reduced in proportion to the square root of the total number of years used in the study. However, it must be kept in mind that this standard error is based on the assumption of perfect knowledge of the parent populations of the input quantities. This limitation is usually quite serious, and it probably is not warranted to carry computations for more than a total duration of 10 to 50 times the data sample length, except for convenience of analyzing results.

CONCLUSIONS

It is of primary importance in the application of statistical procedures to hydrologic problems that they be carried through a complete analysis from which an objective decision can be made, insofar as is possible. For example, rather than to establish an arbitrary probability that can be used as a design standard, the mathematical expectation of the consequences of a particular design should be computed by statistical and probability means. Of various contemplated feasible designs, the one with the most favorable expectation would presumably be selected.

Hydrologic problems are becoming so complex that the direct application of statistics can result in many uncertainties that are difficult or impossible to evaluate. For example, the frequency of runoff cannot ordinarily be obtained by studying the frequency of rainfall and the relationship between rainfall and runoff, because too many variations in the relationship can affect the frequency of runoff. The application of stochastic processes to complicated hydrologic problems offers a general solution to this problem. However, the science of stochastic hydrology is still in its infancy, and a great amount of theoretical research and practical development remains to be done.

REFERENCES

1. Alder, H. L., Roessler, E. B., "Introduction to Probabilities and Statistics", W. H. Freeman Co., San Francisco 1960.
2. Beard, L. R., Use of Interrelated Records to Simulate Streamflow, Journal of the Hydraulics Division, ASCE, September 1965.
3. Beard, L. R., Probability Estimates Based on Small Normal Distribution Samples", AGU Journal of Geophysical Research, Volume 65, No. 7, July 1960.
4. Beard, L. R., Statistical Methods in Hydrology, Corps of Engineers, January 1962.
5. Beard, L. R., Simulation of Daily Streamflows, International Hydrology Symposium, Fort Collins, 1967.
6. Benson & Matalas, Synthetic Hydrology Based on Regional Statistical Parameters, Water Resources Research, Fourth Quarter, 1967.
7. Caffey, J. E., Inter-station Correlations in Annual Precipitation and in Annual Effective Precipitation, Hydrology Papers, Colorado State University, June 1965.
8. Chow, V. T., "The Log-Probability Law and its Engineering Applications", ASCE Proceedings, Volume 80, Paper No. 536, November 1954.
9. Chow, V. T., "Frequency Analysis of Hydrologic Data with Special Application to Rainfall Intensities", University of Illinois Engr. Exp. Sta. Bulletin Series No. 414, July 1953.
10. Cockell & Cole, A Mosaic Technique for Generating the Daily Pattern Within a Synthetic Flow Sequency, International Hydrology Symposium, Fort Collins, 1967.
11. Croxton & Cowden, "Applied General Statistics", Prentice-Hall, 1955.
12. Dalrymple, Tate, "Flood-Frequency Analysis", Manual of Hydrology, Pt. 3., Flood-flow Techniques, U.S. Geol. Surv., WSP 1545-A, 1960.
13. Downer, Siddiqui & Yevdjovich, Application of Runs to Hydrologic Droughts, International Hydrology Symposium, Fort Collins, 1967.
14. Elderton, W. P., "Frequency Curves and Correlation", Cambridge University Press, London, Fourth Edition, 1953.
15. Freeman, Harold, "Introduction to Statistical Inference", Addison-Wesley, 1963.
16. Grace & Eagleson, Model for Generating Synthetic Sequences of Short-time-interval Rainfall Depths, International Hydrology Symposium, Fort Collins, 1967.
17. Gumbel, E. J., "The Return Period of Flood Flows", Ann. Math. Statist., Vol. XII, No. 2., June 1941.
18. Gumbel, E.J., "On the Plotting of Flood Discharges", Transactions, Am. Geophys. Union, Vol. 24, 1943.
19. Gumbel, E. J., "Statistical Theory of Droughts, Proceedings", ASCE, Vol. 80, Separate No. 439, May, 1954.
20. Harms & Cambell, An Extension of the Thomas-Fiering Model for the Sequential Generation of Streamflow, Water Resources Research, Third Quarter, 1967.

21. Hazen, Allen, "Flood Flows, A Study of Frequencies and Magnitudes", John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1930.
22. Hufschmidt & Fiering, Simulation Techniques for Design of Water-Resource Systems, Harvard University Press, 1966.
23. Jurst, H. E., "Long-Term Storage Capacity of Reservoirs", Transactions, ASCE, Vol. 116, 1951.
24. Kendall & Stuart, The Advanced Theory of Statistics, Hafner, 1961.
25. Kos, Z., Simulation of Runoff for Water Resource Systems, International Hydrology Symposium, Fort Collins, 1967.
26. Lane & Kai Lei, "Stream Flow Variability", Transactions, ASCE, Vol. 115, 1950.
27. Maass, et. al., Design of Water Resource Systems, Harvard University Press, 1962.
28. Matalas, N. C., "Probability Distribution of Low Flows", U.S. Geol. Surv. Profess. Paper 434-A, 1963.
29. Matalas, N. C., "Mathematical Assessment of Synthetic Hydrology, Water Resources Research, Fourth Quarter, 1967.
30. Moran, P.A.P., "The Statistical Treatment of Flood Flows", Transactions, Am. Geophys. Union, Vol. 38, 1957.
31. Pattison, Allan, Synthesis of Hourly Rainfall Data, Water Resources Research, Fourth Quarter, 1965.
32. Quimpo, R. G., Stochastic Analysis of Daily River Flows, Journal of the Hydraulics Division, ASCE, January 1968.
33. Quimpo, R. G., Autocorrelation and Spectral Analyses in Hydrology, Journal of the Hydraulics Division, ASCE, March 1968.
34. Schwarz, "Determination of Flood Frequencies in a Major Drainage Basin", IUGG, International Association of Scientific Hydrology, General Assembly of Toronto, VIII, September 1957.
35. Stall, J. B. and Neill, J. C., "A Partial Duration Series for Low-Flow Analysis", J. Geophys. Res. Vol. 66, No. 12, 1961.
36. Svanidze, G. G., River Runoff as a Stochastic Process and its Mathematical Modeling, International Hydrology Symposium, Fort Collins, 1967.
37. Todd, "Frequency Analysis of Stream Flow Data", Proceedings ASCE, Vol. 84, Paper No. 1166, February 1957.
38. Subcommittee on Hydrology, Inter-Agency Committee on Water Resources, "Methods of Flow Frequency Analysis", April 1966.
39. White, J. B., Stochastic Aspects of Reservoir Storage, International Hydrology Symposium, Fort Collins, 1967.
40. Yevjevich, V. M., Fluctuations of Wet and Dry Years, Hydrology Papers, Colorado State University, July 1963, and June 1964.
41. Young & Pisano, Operational Hydrology Using Residuals, Journal of the Hydraulics Division, ASCE, July 1968.

Technical Paper Series

TP-1	Use of Interrelated Records to Simulate Streamflow	TP-39	A Method for Analyzing Effects of Dam Failures in Design Studies
TP-2	Optimization Techniques for Hydrologic Engineering	TP-40	Storm Drainage and Urban Region Flood Control Planning
TP-3	Methods of Determination of Safe Yield and Compensation Water from Storage Reservoirs	TP-41	HEC-5C, A Simulation Model for System Formulation and Evaluation
TP-4	Functional Evaluation of a Water Resources System	TP-42	Optimal Sizing of Urban Flood Control Systems
TP-5	Streamflow Synthesis for Ungaged Rivers	TP-43	Hydrologic and Economic Simulation of Flood Control Aspects of Water Resources Systems
TP-6	Simulation of Daily Streamflow	TP-44	Sizing Flood Control Reservoir Systems by System Analysis
TP-7	Pilot Study for Storage Requirements for Low Flow Augmentation	TP-45	Techniques for Real-Time Operation of Flood Control Reservoirs in the Merrimack River Basin
TP-8	Worth of Streamflow Data for Project Design - A Pilot Study	TP-46	Spatial Data Analysis of Nonstructural Measures
TP-9	Economic Evaluation of Reservoir System Accomplishments	TP-47	Comprehensive Flood Plain Studies Using Spatial Data Management Techniques
TP-10	Hydrologic Simulation in Water-Yield Analysis	TP-48	Direct Runoff Hydrograph Parameters Versus Urbanization
TP-11	Survey of Programs for Water Surface Profiles	TP-49	Experience of HEC in Disseminating Information on Hydrological Models
TP-12	Hypothetical Flood Computation for a Stream System	TP-50	Effects of Dam Removal: An Approach to Sedimentation
TP-13	Maximum Utilization of Scarce Data in Hydrologic Design	TP-51	Design of Flood Control Improvements by Systems Analysis: A Case Study
TP-14	Techniques for Evaluating Long-Term Reservoir Yields	TP-52	Potential Use of Digital Computer Ground Water Models
TP-15	Hydrostatistics - Principles of Application	TP-53	Development of Generalized Free Surface Flow Models Using Finite Element Techniques
TP-16	A Hydrologic Water Resource System Modeling Techniques	TP-54	Adjustment of Peak Discharge Rates for Urbanization
TP-17	Hydrologic Engineering Techniques for Regional Water Resources Planning	TP-55	The Development and Servicing of Spatial Data Management Techniques in the Corps of Engineers
TP-18	Estimating Monthly Streamflows Within a Region	TP-56	Experiences of the Hydrologic Engineering Center in Maintaining Widely Used Hydrologic and Water Resource Computer Models
TP-19	Suspended Sediment Discharge in Streams	TP-57	Flood Damage Assessments Using Spatial Data Management Techniques
TP-20	Computer Determination of Flow Through Bridges	TP-58	A Model for Evaluating Runoff-Quality in Metropolitan Master Planning
TP-21	An Approach to Reservoir Temperature Analysis	TP-59	Testing of Several Runoff Models on an Urban Watershed
TP-22	A Finite Difference Methods of Analyzing Liquid Flow in Variably Saturated Porous Media	TP-60	Operational Simulation of a Reservoir System with Pumped Storage
TP-23	Uses of Simulation in River Basin Planning	TP-61	Technical Factors in Small Hydropower Planning
TP-24	Hydroelectric Power Analysis in Reservoir Systems	TP-62	Flood Hydrograph and Peak Flow Frequency Analysis
TP-25	Status of Water Resource System Analysis	TP-63	HEC Contribution to Reservoir System Operation
TP-26	System Relationships for Panama Canal Water Supply	TP-64	Determining Peak-Discharge Frequencies in an Urbanizing Watershed: A Case Study
TP-27	System Analysis of the Panama Canal Water Supply	TP-65	Feasibility Analysis in Small Hydropower Planning
TP-28	Digital Simulation of an Existing Water Resources System	TP-66	Reservoir Storage Determination by Computer Simulation of Flood Control and Conservation Systems
TP-29	Computer Application in Continuing Education	TP-67	Hydrologic Land Use Classification Using LANDSAT
TP-30	Drought Severity and Water Supply Dependability	TP-68	Interactive Nonstructural Flood-Control Planning
TP-31	Development of System Operation Rules for an Existing System by Simulation	TP-69	Critical Water Surface by Minimum Specific Energy Using the Parabolic Method
TP-32	Alternative Approaches to Water Resources System Simulation		
TP-33	System Simulation of Integrated Use of Hydroelectric and Thermal Power Generation		
TP-34	Optimizing flood Control Allocation for a Multipurpose Reservoir		
TP-35	Computer Models for Rainfall-Runoff and River Hydraulic Analysis		
TP-36	Evaluation of Drought Effects at Lake Atitlan		
TP-37	Downstream Effects of the Levee Overtopping at Wilkes-Barre, PA, During Tropical Storm Agnes		
TP-38	Water Quality Evaluation of Aquatic Systems		

- TP-70 Corps of Engineers Experience with Automatic Calibration of a Precipitation-Runoff Model
- TP-71 Determination of Land Use from Satellite Imagery for Input to Hydrologic Models
- TP-72 Application of the Finite Element Method to Vertically Stratified Hydrodynamic Flow and Water Quality
- TP-73 Flood Mitigation Planning Using HEC-SAM
- TP-74 Hydrographs by Single Linear Reservoir Model
- TP-75 HEC Activities in Reservoir Analysis
- TP-76 Institutional Support of Water Resource Models
- TP-77 Investigation of Soil Conservation Service Urban Hydrology Techniques
- TP-78 Potential for Increasing the Output of Existing Hydroelectric Plants
- TP-79 Potential Energy and Capacity Gains from Flood Control Storage Reallocation at Existing U.S. Hydropower Reservoirs
- TP-80 Use of Non-Sequential Techniques in the Analysis of Power Potential at Storage Projects
- TP-81 Data Management Systems of Water Resources Planning
- TP-82 The New HEC-1 Flood Hydrograph Package
- TP-83 River and Reservoir Systems Water Quality Modeling Capability
- TP-84 Generalized Real-Time Flood Control System Model
- TP-85 Operation Policy Analysis: Sam Rayburn Reservoir
- TP-86 Training the Practitioner: The Hydrologic Engineering Center Program
- TP-87 Documentation Needs for Water Resources Models
- TP-88 Reservoir System Regulation for Water Quality Control
- TP-89 A Software System to Aid in Making Real-Time Water Control Decisions
- TP-90 Calibration, Verification and Application of a Two-Dimensional Flow Model
- TP-91 HEC Software Development and Support
- TP-92 Hydrologic Engineering Center Planning Models
- TP-93 Flood Routing Through a Flat, Complex Flood Plain Using a One-Dimensional Unsteady Flow Computer Program
- TP-94 Dredged-Material Disposal Management Model
- TP-95 Infiltration and Soil Moisture Redistribution in HEC-1
- TP-96 The Hydrologic Engineering Center Experience in Nonstructural Planning
- TP-97 Prediction of the Effects of a Flood Control Project on a Meandering Stream
- TP-98 Evolution in Computer Programs Causes Evolution in Training Needs: The Hydrologic Engineering Center Experience
- TP-99 Reservoir System Analysis for Water Quality
- TP-100 Probable Maximum Flood Estimation - Eastern United States
- TP-101 Use of Computer Program HEC-5 for Water Supply Analysis
- TP-102 Role of Calibration in the Application of HEC-6
- TP-103 Engineering and Economic Considerations in Formulating
- TP-104 Modeling Water Resources Systems for Water Quality
- TP-105 Use of a Two-Dimensional Flow Model to Quantify Aquatic Habitat
- TP-106 Flood-Runoff Forecasting with HEC-1F
- TP-107 Dredged-Material Disposal System Capacity Expansion
- TP-108 Role of Small Computers in Two-Dimensional Flow Modeling
- TP-109 One-Dimensional Model for Mud Flows
- TP-110 Subdivision Froude Number
- TP-111 HEC-5Q: System Water Quality Modeling
- TP-112 New Developments in HEC Programs for Flood Control
- TP-113 Modeling and Managing Water Resource Systems for Water Quality
- TP-114 Accuracy of Computer Water Surface Profiles - Executive Summary
- TP-115 Application of Spatial-Data Management Techniques in Corps Planning
- TP-116 The HEC's Activities in Watershed Modeling
- TP-117 HEC-1 and HEC-2 Applications on the Microcomputer
- TP-118 Real-Time Snow Simulation Model for the Monongahela River Basin
- TP-119 Multi-Purpose, Multi-Reservoir Simulation on a PC
- TP-120 Technology Transfer of Corps' Hydrologic Models
- TP-121 Development, Calibration and Application of Runoff Forecasting Models for the Allegheny River Basin
- TP-122 The Estimation of Rainfall for Flood Forecasting Using Radar and Rain Gage Data
- TP-123 Developing and Managing a Comprehensive Reservoir Analysis Model
- TP-124 Review of U.S. Army corps of Engineering Involvement With Alluvial Fan Flooding Problems
- TP-125 An Integrated Software Package for Flood Damage Analysis
- TP-126 The Value and Depreciation of Existing Facilities: The Case of Reservoirs
- TP-127 Floodplain-Management Plan Enumeration
- TP-128 Two-Dimensional Floodplain Modeling
- TP-129 Status and New Capabilities of Computer Program HEC-6: "Scour and Deposition in Rivers and Reservoirs"
- TP-130 Estimating Sediment Delivery and Yield on Alluvial Fans
- TP-131 Hydrologic Aspects of Flood Warning - Preparedness Programs
- TP-132 Twenty-five Years of Developing, Distributing, and Supporting Hydrologic Engineering Computer Programs
- TP-133 Predicting Deposition Patterns in Small Basins
- TP-134 Annual Extreme Lake Elevations by Total Probability Theorem
- TP-135 A Muskingum-Cunge Channel Flow Routing Method for Drainage Networks
- TP-136 Prescriptive Reservoir System Analysis Model - Missouri River System Application
- TP-137 A Generalized Simulation Model for Reservoir System Analysis
- TP-138 The HEC NexGen Software Development Project
- TP-139 Issues for Applications Developers
- TP-140 HEC-2 Water Surface Profiles Program
- TP-141 HEC Models for Urban Hydrologic Analysis

- TP-142 Systems Analysis Applications at the Hydrologic Engineering Center
- TP-143 Runoff Prediction Uncertainty for Ungauged Agricultural Watersheds
- TP-144 Review of GIS Applications in Hydrologic Modeling
- TP-145 Application of Rainfall-Runoff Simulation for Flood Forecasting
- TP-146 Application of the HEC Prescriptive Reservoir Model in the Columbia River Systems
- TP-147 HEC River Analysis System (HEC-RAS)
- TP-148 HEC-6: Reservoir Sediment Control Applications
- TP-149 The Hydrologic Modeling System (HEC-HMS): Design and Development Issues
- TP-150 The HEC Hydrologic Modeling System
- TP-151 Bridge Hydraulic Analysis with HEC-RAS
- TP-152 Use of Land Surface Erosion Techniques with Stream Channel Sediment Models
- TP-153 Risk-Based Analysis for Corps Flood Project Studies - A Status Report
- TP-154 Modeling Water-Resource Systems for Water Quality Management
- TP-155 Runoff simulation Using Radar Rainfall Data
- TP-156 Status of HEC Next Generation Software Development
- TP-157 Unsteady Flow Model for Forecasting Missouri and Mississippi Rivers
- TP-158 Corps Water Management System (CWMS)
- TP-159 Some History and Hydrology of the Panama Canal
- TP-160 Application of Risk-Based Analysis to Planning Reservoir and Levee Flood Damage Reduction Systems
- TP-161 Corps Water Management System - Capabilities and Implementation Status

