

Dobjective • Describe the Hydraulic computations through bridges • Low Flow Hydraulic Methods • High Flow Hydraulic Methods • Learn how to selecting the Appropriate Modeling Approach











The energy based method treats a bridge in the same manner as a natural river cross section, except the area of the bridge below the water surface is subtracted from the total area, and the wetted perimeter is increased where the water is in contact with the bridge structure. The program performs an energy balance by stepping from cross section 2 to cross section BD. Then an energy balance is performed through the bridge, and finally out of the bridge to section 3.





		HEC
Momentum Drag Coefficients		
Typical drag coefficients for various pier shapes		
Pier Shape	Drag Coefficient C _p	
Circular pier	1.20	
Elongated piers with semi-circular ends	1.33	
Elliptical piers with 2:1 length to width	0.60	
Elliptical piers with 4:1 length to width	0.32	
Elliptical piers with 8:1 length to width	0.29	
Square nose piers	2.00	
Triangular nose with 30 degree angle	1.00	
Triangular nose with 60 degree angle	1.39	
Triangular nose with 90 degree angle	1.60	
Triangular nose with 120 degree angle	1.72	





The Yarnell equation is an empirical equation that computes the change in water surface from just downstream of the bridge (section 2 of Figure 3) to just upstream of the bridge (section 3). The Yarnell equation only provides hydraulic information at cross-sections 2 and 3. No information is provided inside the bridge (sections BU and BD).

Ĭ

Yarnell's Pier Coefficient, K

Pier Shape	Yarnell K Coefficient
Semi-circular nose and tail	0.90
Twin-cylinder piers with connecting	diaphragm 0.95
Twin-cylinder piers without diaphrag	m 1.05
90 degree triangular nose and tail	1.05
Square nose and tail	1.25
Ten pile trestle bent	2.50

HEC





The low-flow hydraulic computations of the Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA) WSPRO computer program has been adapted as an option for low flow hydraulics in HEC-RAS. The WSPRO methodology had to be modified slightly in order to fit into the HEC-RAS concept of cross-section locations.

The WSPRO method computes the water surface profile through a bridge by solving the energy equation. The method is an iterative solution performed from the exit cross section (1) to the approach cross section (4). The energy balance is performed in steps from the exit section (1) to the cross section just downstream of the bridge (2); from just downstream of the bridge (2) to inside of the bridge at the downstream end (BD); from inside of the bridge at the downstream end (BD) to inside of the bridge at the upstream end (BU); From inside of the bridge at the upstream end (BU) to just upstream of the bridge (3); and from just upstream of the bridge (3) to the approach section (4). A general energy balance equation from the exit section to the approach section is written in the slide above.



Losses from section 1 to section 2 are based on friction losses and an expansion loss. Friction losses are calculated using the geometric mean friction slope times the flow weighted distance between sections 1 and 2. B is the flow weighted distance between sections 1 and 2, and K_1 and K_2 are the total conveyance at sections 1 and 2 respectively.

The expansion loss from section 2 to section 1 is computed by and idealized expansion loss equation. Where α_1 and β_1 are energy and momentum correction factors for nonuniform flow. α_2 and β_2 are related to the bridge geometry and are defined as $\alpha_2 = 1/C^2$ and $\beta_2 = 1/C$. Where C is an empirical discharge coefficient for the bridge, which was originally developed as part of the Contracted Opening method by Kindswater, Carter, and Tracy (USGS, 1953), and subsequently modified by Matthai (USGS, 1968). The computation of the discharge coefficient, C, is explained in detail in appendix D of the HEC-RAS Hydraulic Reference Manual.





The computation of the effective flow length by the stream tube method is explained in appendix D of the HEC-RAS Hydraulic Reference Manual.











The discharge coefficient C_d , can vary depending upon the depth of water upstream, ranging in values from 0.35 to 0.5 with a value of 0.5 commonly used. The user can enter a fixed value for this coefficient or the program will compute one based on the amount that the inlet is submerged, see the Figure above relating C_d to Y_3/Z .

As shown in the Figure, the limiting value of Y_3/Z is 1.1. There is a transition zone somewhere between $Y_3/Z = 1.0$ and 1.1 where free surface flow changes to orifice flow. The type of flow in this range is unpredictable, and the sluice gate pressure flow equation may not be applicable.



Typical values for the discharge coefficient C range from 0.7 to 0.9, with a value of 0.8 commonly used for most bridges. The user must enter a value for C whenever the pressure flow method is selected.

The program will begin checking for the possibility of pressure flow when the computed low flow energy grade line is above the maximum low chord elevation at the upstream side of the bridge. Once pressure flow is computed, the pressure flow answer is compared to the low flow answer, the higher of the two is used. The user has the option to tell the program to use the water surface, instead of energy, to trigger the pressure flow calculation.



Flow over the bridge, and roadway approaching the bridge, can be calculated as weir flow. The approach velocity is included by using the energy grade line elevation in lieu of the upstream water surface elevation for computing the head, H.

Under free-flow conditions (discharge independent of tailwater) the coefficient of discharge C, ranges from 2.5 to 3.1 (1.38 - 1.71 metric) for broad-crested weirs depending primarily upon the gross head on the crest (C increases with head). Increased resistance to flow caused by obstructions such as trash on bridge railings, curbs, etc. would decrease the value of C.

From King's Handbook (King, 1963), weir coefficients C are given for broad-crested weirs in with the value of C varying with measured head H and breadth of weir. <u>Rectangular weirs</u> with a breadth of 15 feet and a H of 1 foot or more, the given value is 2.63 (1.45 for metric). <u>Trapezoidal shaped weirs</u> generally have a higher coefficient, with values ranging from 2.7 to 3.08 (1.49 to 1.70 for metric).

Hydraulics of Bridge Waterways [Bradley, 1978] provides a curve of C versus the head on the roadway. The roadway section is shown as a trapezoid and the coefficient rapidly changes from 2.9 for a very small H to 3.03 for H = 0.6 feet, and the curve levels off at 3.05 (1.69 for metric).

With very little prototype data available, it seems the assumption of a rectangular weir for flow over the bridge deck (assuming the bridge can withstand the forces) and a coefficient of 2.6 (1.44 for metric) would be reasonable. If the weir flow is over the roadway approaches to the bridge, a value of 3.0 (1.66 for metric) would be consistent with available data. If weir flow occurs as a combination of bridge and roadway, an average coefficient (weighted by weir length) could be used.



The program will automatically reduce the weir coefficient to account for submergence on the weir. Submergence corrections are based on a trapezoidal weir shape (default), or optionally an ogee spillway shape. The submergence correction for a trapezoidal weir shape, shown in the Figure above, is from "Hydraulics of Bridge Waterways" [Bradley, 1978].

When the weir becomes highly submerged the program will automatically switch to the energy equation (standard step backwater) to calculate the upstream water surface, instead of using the pressure and weir flow equations. The criterion can be set by the user, with a default maximum submergence of 0.98 (98 percent).









For bridges in which the piers are the dominant contributor to energy losses and the change in water surface, either the momentum method or the Yarnell equation would be most applicable. However, the Yarnell equation is only applicable to Class A low flow.









