Dam Breach Analysis with 2D Areas

Workshop

Objective

This workshop will guide students on using HEC-RAS to (1) simulate a RAS Model to breach, (2) interpret the results of a dam breach simulation, and (3) review results and perform model refinement.

NOTE: While this data is from an actual river system, the model and results of this workshop do not represent current or future conditions of the river. The United States Army Corps of Engineers has granted access to the information in this model for instructional purposes only. Do not copy, forward, or release the information without United States Army Corps of Engineers approval.

Background

The town of Lock Haven is situated on the north bank of Bald Eagle Creek in central Pennsylvania. Lock Haven sits behind a levee system that was designed to provide protection for a 500-year (0.2% Chance) event. Sayers Dam, a flood control project on Bald Eagle Creek, is approximately 15 miles upstream of Lock Haven.



W – Dam Breach with HEC-RAS

In this workshop we will be performing a dam breach analysis to determine the consequences of a failure of Sayers Dam by inputting design inflows for the reservoir and setting the Sayers Dam to breach.

*** This workshop continues from the previous Geometry workshop. ***

1 Open the HEC-RAS Project

- 1. Open RAS
- 2. **Open** the "**DamBreachWorkshop**.prj" RAS project file.

2 Complete the Geometric Data

- 3. Open RAS Mapper and make sure you have the
 - a. Base Geometry and
 - b. Terrain
- 4. Right-click on the Geometry and choose Save As
- 5. Provide a new name "2D500ft"
- 6. Close RAS Mapper

2.1 Edit the Reservoir Volume-Elevation Curve

- 7. **Open** the **Geometric Data** editor by clicking on the 🖄 in the main HEC-RAS window.
- 8. Open the Geometry "Base Geometry"
- 9. Edit the Storage Area



Note that there are already Volume-Elevation data provided. These data were extracted from the terrain model, but we need to replace with more accurate data.

10. Enter the Elevation-Volume Relationship – this data is in the Excel spreadsheet provided.



11. Plot the SA Volume-Elevation curve to confirm it has been entered correctly.



3 Create a Plan with Breach and Simulate

- 12. Open the Unsteady Flow Analysis editor 😕.
- 13. **Save** the plan with a title "Breach 500ft" and Short ID "Breach500ft".
- 14. Check the boxes for the Geometry Preprocessor and Unsteady Flow Simulation.
- 15. Set the **time window** for a longer simulation

starting bate.	UTJAN2222	Starting Time:	0000
Ending Date:	041AN2222	Ending Time:	1200

Computation Interval:	1 Minute	•	Hydrograph Output Interval:	1 Minute	
Mapping Output Interval:	10 Minute	•	Detailed Output Interval:	1 Hour	

17. Set the Mapping Output Interval, Hydrograph Output Interval.

18. Click on the menu Options | Computation Options and Tolerances, select the 2D Flow Options tab, and set the Initial Conditions Time for the 2D area to 2 hrs.

	Parameter	(Default)	Downstream Area		
1	Theta (0.5-1.0)	1	1		
2	Theta Warmup (0.5-1.0)	1	1		
3	Water Surface Tolerance [max=0.2](ft)	0.01	0.01		
4	Volume Tolerance (ft)	0.01	0.01		
5	Maximum Iterations	20	20		
6	Equation Set	Diffusion Wave	Diffusion Wave		
7	Initial Conditions Time (hrs)		2		
8	Initial Conditions Ramp Up Fraction (0-1)	0.1	0.1		

- 19. Click on the menu Options | SA Connection Breach.
- 20. Enter the Breach Parameters as shown in the figure below



- 21. Check the box for Breach This Structure.
- 22. In the **Beach Progression** tab **Select Sine Wave**.
- 23. Save the Plan Data.
- 24. **Compute** the results. The simulation should take about 30 seconds.

4 Review Results

After running the model, review the output and answer some questions.

Question: What is the Peak Outflow coming out of the Dam from the flow event and the breach?

Question: What is the peak flow coming out of the 2D Flow Area for the downstream Normal Depth boundary condition outlet? Does this flow make sense given the upstream inflow from the Dam breach, the terrain data, and the computational mesh used?

Question: Is the Computational Time Step appropriate for the selected 2D Flow Area cell size and the floodwave being routed through it?

Question: Is the Computational cell size in the 2D Flow Area adequate for modeling the terrain, levees, and roads in this area? If not, briefly describe why not?

Question: What is the floodwave arrival time to the town of Lock Haven?

5 Change 2D Cell Size and Re-Run

25. In **RAS Mapper**, **Save Geometry As** and provide a new name ("2D Area 250ft")



- 26. Start Editing the mesh by clicking on the 🧹.
- 27. Click on the Edit Mesh Properties button in the toolbar
 - ↓ 「▲ Tools → 図 羅 | ?
- 28. Change the **cell size** to **250 ft** and **Generate Computation Points** with **Include Breaklines**.checked. A menu will appear. Select **Yes** to generate the new points.

2D Flow Area Editor	×
2D Flow Area: Downstream Area	<u> </u>
Cell Properties	
Computation Points	
Points Spacing (ft) DX: 250 DY: 250	Mesh State = Complete
Include Breaklines / Refinement Regions	Number of Cells = 3257 Average Face Length = 512 Average Cell Size = 262,189 Maximum Cell Size = 800,703 Minimum Cell Size = 97,529
Generate Computation Points Generate C	Mesh Status = Success: Existing mesh read from hdf file in 0.005 seconds. Compuation Points
Hydraulic Cell/Face Properties	
Default Manning's n Value: 0.04	
Spatially varied Manning's n on face	Compute Property Tables
Force Mesh Recomputation	Close
Generate Points	×
The 2D Flow Area named 'BaldEagleCr' curren 3710 points. Generating new points will replace all existing includes any hand edits)! Do you want to rep data?	ntly contains 1g points (this place all existing
Yes	No

- 29. Close the 2D Flow Editor.
- 30. **Inspect** the mesh and **edit** any areas with poor mesh quality manually.
- 31. Stop editing the Geometry.
- 32. **Save** the base **Plan** to a new plan with the title "2D Area 250 ft" and Short ID "2D250ft"
- 33. Select the new Geometry for the Plan.
- 34. Select an appropriate time step
- 35. Compute
- 36. Review the following questions

Question: Is the peak outflow from the dam any different than the previous run done with 500ft mesh?

Question: Has the Peak outflow coming out of the 2D Flow Area changed? If so, why?

Question: Are there any differences in the inundation mapping between the two runs? Look at the Max Depth inundation, as well as different time steps during the event in order to answer this question. It will also be helpful to change the color of one of the plans to something other than Blue. (Changed my 500ft Plan to Red, and moved below the 250ft plan, to make it easier to see differences between the two plans.)

Question: Does the smaller cell size better represent the downstream terrain and the levee system protecting Lock Haven? Is it detailed enough with this cell size? If not, what are the options you could use to model the Lock Haven levee system more accurately than it is currently represented in this model?

Question: Do you think it is appropriate to use the Diffusion Wave equations or the Full Shallow Water equations for this Dam Breach analysis in the Bald Eagle system? Why or why not?

6 Change Governing Equations and Re-Run

- 37. **Create** a new plan with the 250ft resolution mesh and **Save** it with the Title "Breach250ft SWE" and Short ID "2D250ftSWE"
- 38. In the **Unsteady Flow Analysis** editor, select on the menu **Options | Computation Options and Tolerances** and select the **2D Flow Options** tab.
- 39. Set the **Equation Set** on line 6 to **SWE-ELM (original/faster)**. This is the Eulerian-Lagrangian Solver of the Shallow Water Equations.
- 40. **Select** an appropriate **time step** for the SWE-ELM solver.
- 41. **Save** the Plan Data.
- 42. **Compute** the results.

Question: Generally, are the results from the SWE solver different from the Diffusion Wave (DWE) solver?

Question: Where are the largest differences expected between the SWE and DWE solvers and why?

Question: How can you tell if you used an appropriate time step? What variables or model output would you look at to determine if the time step is appropriate?

7 Use the Breach Parameter Calculator and Change the Breach Width

- 43. **Create** a new with plan the 250ft resolution mesh, SWE-ELM solver, and Save it with the Title "2D 250ft SWE Mod" and Short ID "2D250ftSWEMod".
- 44. In the **Unsteady Flow Data** editor, select the menu **Options | SA Connection Breach**.
- 45. **Select** the tab **Parameter Calculator**, and enter the parameters as shown below

Storage Area Connection Breach Data								
SA Connection Sayers Dam		•	↓ 1 Delete th	is Breach Delete	all Breaches			
▼ Breach This Structure								
Breach Plot Breach Progression Simplified Physical Physical Breaching (DLBreach) Parameter Calculator Breach Repair (optional)							r (optional)	
User Entered Data	-	Input Data						
Center Station:	5200	Top of Dam Elevation (ft	:): 6	83 Breach	Bottom Elevation (ft)): 590		
Final Bottom Width:	400	Pool Elevation at Failure	(ft): 6	76 Pool Vol	ume at Failure (acre	-ft): 178780		
Final Bottom Elevation:	590			Failure	node:	Piping 🗨		
Left Side Slope:	0.5	Dam Crest Width (ft):	1	00 Slope of	US Dam Face Z1 (H	:V): 0.3		
Right Side Slope:	0.5	Earth Fill Type: Non-h	omogeneous or Re	ockfill 👻 Slope of	FDS Dam Face Z2 (H	:v): 0.3		
Breach Weir Coef: 2.6		Xu Zhang (and Von Thun)						
Breach Formation Time (hrs):	3	Dam Type: Dam with	corewall	▼ Dam Ero	odibility:	Medium 💌		
Failure Mode: Piping	•							
Piping Coefficient:	0.5	Method	Breach Bottom	Side Slopes (H:V)	Breach Development Time			
Initial Piping Elev:	630		Width (ft)		(hrs)			
Trigger Failure at: WS Elev	-	MacDonald et al	2102	0.5	2.43	Select		
Starting WS	676	Froehlich (1995)	439	0.9	3.31	Select		
		Froehlich (2008)	408	0.7	2.94	Select		
		Von Thun & Gillete	349	0.5	0.77	Select		
		Xu & Zhang	291	0.61	5.00 *	Select		
		_					ОК	Cancel

- 46. Use parameters based on the Parameter Calculator Set the Breach Final **Bottom Width** to **400 ft.**
- 47. **Save** the Plan Data.
- 48. **Compute** the results.

Question: Plot time series of water levels near the dam and near the city of Loch Haven. How different are the water levels at these two locations?

Question: Did changing the Final Breach Width affect the model stability? If so why would it do that?